EXHIBIT

J

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

DEPOSITION OF RALPH SILVERMAN, M.D.

APPEARING REMOTELY FROM

CLAYTON, MISSOURI

JULY 23, 2021

1:00 P.M.

REPORTED BY:

ROBIN HEJNAR, CSR, RPR

CSR No. 084-004689

APPEARING REMOTELY FROM DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS

		Page 2		Page 4
1 2	APPEARANCES:	1490 1	1	THE REPORTER: The attorneys participating
3	MARGOLIS, GALLAGHER & CROSS, by		2	in this deposition acknowledge that I am not physically
١.	LAWRENCE MARGOLIS & IAN CROSS		3	present in the deposition room and that I will be
4	214 South Main Street, Suite 200 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104		4	reporting this remotely. They further acknowledge that,
5	(734) 994-9590		5	in lieu of an oath administered in person, the witness
6	<pre>larry@lawinannarbor.com Representing the Plaintiff;</pre>		6	will verbally declare his/her testimony in this matter
7			7	is under penalty of perjury. The parties and their
8	CORBET, SHAW, ESSAD & BONASSO, by		8	counsel consent to this arrangement and waive any
	DANIEL CORBET		9	objections to this manner of reporting.
10	30500 Van Dyke Avenue, Suite 500 Warren, Michigan 48093		10	Please indicate your agreement by stating
11	(312) 964-6300		11	your name and your agreement on the record.
12	daniel.corbet@cseb-law.com		12	MR. CORBET: Dan Corbet, I'm fine with that.
12	Representing Prime Healthcare Ser Colleen Spencer, and David Krause		13	MR. CROSS: Ian Cross, I consent.
13			14	MR. SCARBER: Devlin Scarber, I agree.
14 15			15	THE REPORTER: Can I have a stipulation to
	CHAPMAN LAW GROUP, by		16	the doctor's identity, foregoing the ID?
16	DEVLIN SCARBER 1441 West Long Lake Road, Suite 310		17	MR. CORBET: Dan Corbet, yes.
17	Troy, Michigan 48098		18	MR. CROSS: Ian Cross, yes.
18	(248) 644-6326 dscarber@chapmanlawgroup.com		19	MR. SCARBER: Devlin Scarber, yes.
10	Representing Corizon Health, Inc.	, and	20	What I would ask is, that he provide some
19	Keith Papendick, M.D.		21	kind of ID after the deposition, maybe to Mr. Cross;
20 21			22	Mr. Cross, if you can get it to us?
22			23	MR. CROSS: Sure. I don't have a problem
23			24	with that.
25			25	
		Page 3		Page 5
1	I N D E X		1	(Whereupon, Ralph Silverman, M.D., is
2	WITNESS EXAMINATION		2	duly sworn.)
3	RALPH SILVERMAN, M.D.		3	DIRECT-EXAMINATION
4	By Mr. Scarber 05, 71, 9		4	BY MR. SCARBER:
5	By Mr. Corbet 42, 80, 9	0	5	Q. Let the record reflect that this is the
6	By Mr. Cross 59, 89		6	deposition of Dr. Ralph Silverman, that's being taken
			7	pursuant to notice, all purposes allowed under Michigan
8			8	and Federal Court Rules and Rules of Evidence.
9				
			9	Dr. Silverman, we've had an opportunity to meet
10			10	earlier today, before your deposition. My name is
11			10 11	earlier today, before your deposition. My name is Devlin Scarber. I'm representing the Corizon defendants
11 12			10 11 12	earlier today, before your deposition. My name is Devlin Scarber. I'm representing the Corizon defendants in this case. I'm here, today, to ask you some
11 12 13			10 11 12 13	earlier today, before your deposition. My name is Devlin Scarber. I'm representing the Corizon defendants in this case. I'm here, today, to ask you some questions about your involvement in this, in terms of
11 12 13 14			10 11 12 13 14	earlier today, before your deposition. My name is Devlin Scarber. I'm representing the Corizon defendants in this case. I'm here, today, to ask you some questions about your involvement in this, in terms of your review and opinions in this particular case. Do
11 12 13 14 15	EXHIBITS		10 11 12 13 14 15	earlier today, before your deposition. My name is Devlin Scarber. I'm representing the Corizon defendants in this case. I'm here, today, to ask you some questions about your involvement in this, in terms of your review and opinions in this particular case. Do you understand that?
11 12 13 14 15	NUMBER MARKED FOR II	,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	earlier today, before your deposition. My name is Devlin Scarber. I'm representing the Corizon defendants in this case. I'm here, today, to ask you some questions about your involvement in this, in terms of your review and opinions in this particular case. Do you understand that? A. Yes.
11 12 13 14 15 16	NUMBER MARKED FOR IE Exhibit A 12		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	earlier today, before your deposition. My name is Devlin Scarber. I'm representing the Corizon defendants in this case. I'm here, today, to ask you some questions about your involvement in this, in terms of your review and opinions in this particular case. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And you've given a deposition before, correct?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	NUMBER MARKED FOR II	,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	earlier today, before your deposition. My name is Devlin Scarber. I'm representing the Corizon defendants in this case. I'm here, today, to ask you some questions about your involvement in this, in terms of your review and opinions in this particular case. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And you've given a deposition before, correct? A. Yes.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	NUMBER MARKED FOR IE Exhibit A 12	,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	earlier today, before your deposition. My name is Devlin Scarber. I'm representing the Corizon defendants in this case. I'm here, today, to ask you some questions about your involvement in this, in terms of your review and opinions in this particular case. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And you've given a deposition before, correct? A. Yes. Q. And I used to say this is an unusual format, but
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	NUMBER MARKED FOR IE Exhibit A 12		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	earlier today, before your deposition. My name is Devlin Scarber. I'm representing the Corizon defendants in this case. I'm here, today, to ask you some questions about your involvement in this, in terms of your review and opinions in this particular case. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And you've given a deposition before, correct? A. Yes. Q. And I used to say this is an unusual format, but it's becoming very typical now. We've got two other
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	NUMBER MARKED FOR IE Exhibit A 12		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	earlier today, before your deposition. My name is Devlin Scarber. I'm representing the Corizon defendants in this case. I'm here, today, to ask you some questions about your involvement in this, in terms of your review and opinions in this particular case. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And you've given a deposition before, correct? A. Yes. Q. And I used to say this is an unusual format, but it's becoming very typical now. We've got two other attorneys who are appearing remotely. If you got any
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NUMBER MARKED FOR IE Exhibit A 12		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	earlier today, before your deposition. My name is Devlin Scarber. I'm representing the Corizon defendants in this case. I'm here, today, to ask you some questions about your involvement in this, in terms of your review and opinions in this particular case. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And you've given a deposition before, correct? A. Yes. Q. And I used to say this is an unusual format, but it's becoming very typical now. We've got two other attorneys who are appearing remotely. If you got any issues understanding any questions; if there's any
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NUMBER MARKED FOR IE Exhibit A 12		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	earlier today, before your deposition. My name is Devlin Scarber. I'm representing the Corizon defendants in this case. I'm here, today, to ask you some questions about your involvement in this, in terms of your review and opinions in this particular case. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And you've given a deposition before, correct? A. Yes. Q. And I used to say this is an unusual format, but it's becoming very typical now. We've got two other attorneys who are appearing remotely. If you got any issues understanding any questions; if there's any technical difficulties at all, make sure you let us
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NUMBER MARKED FOR IE Exhibit A 12		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	earlier today, before your deposition. My name is Devlin Scarber. I'm representing the Corizon defendants in this case. I'm here, today, to ask you some questions about your involvement in this, in terms of your review and opinions in this particular case. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. And you've given a deposition before, correct? A. Yes. Q. And I used to say this is an unusual format, but it's becoming very typical now. We've got two other attorneys who are appearing remotely. If you got any issues understanding any questions; if there's any

July 23, 2021 Page 6 1 A. Yes. A. The request for expert report. 2 2 Q. And then after that? Q. If you don't understand a question that I might 3 ask you, just let me know; and I will repeat or rephrase A. Michigan Department of Correction records. 3 the question, and try to make the question better, so Q. You -- I thought you said there was some kind of 4 that you can understand. request for a surgery record or something like that. 6 A. Yes. 6 Maybe I misheard you. Q. You've indicated that you've given a deposition A. This was -- some Lake Huron records. I'm looking before. About how many times have you given a at the actual file that I have, that's labeled on there; 9 deposition before? and these are progress reports; notes, if you will, of 10 A. 85 to 100 times since 2004. 10 Lake Huron Medical Center. Q. And when did you become a licensed medical 11 11 Q. And when were you retained in this particular 12 doctor? 12 case, to serve as an expert witness? 13 A. 1998. 13 A. My recollection is November of 2020. 14 Q. And where do you currently practice? 14 Q. And were you provided all the records that you just mentioned, in November 2020? 15 A. Is the question, where is my current practice? 15 16 Q. Yeah, where do you currently practice? 16 A. I don't recall, but I believe it came in 17 A. Are you asking me where my address is? 17 different points, if you will. Q. Where do you currently practice medicine? Q. Do you know what materials you actually had when 18 A. St. Louis. you wrote your report, your expert report in this case? 19 20 Q. Out of any clinic or hospital? 20 21 A. I have an office, and hospitals that I go to. 21 Q. And what is your area of practice? 22 A. I practice both general surgery and colorectal

22 Q. Where's your office located?

23 A. In St. Louis.

24 Q. What hospitals are you affiliated with, or have

25 privileges at, that you might see patients?

training and knowledge of medicine, and to make

3 Q. And can you tell us if you brought any materials

A. Mercy Hospital, St. Clair Hospital, and Missouri

Baptist Hospital. That's a parent hospital.

with you today for your deposition? 4

5 A. I did.

1

6 7

Q. And what did you bring?

A. I brought my record with me, including -- in no

8 particular order -- an expert report from Dr. McKenna, a

filed amended complaint, an expert report from

10 Dr. McQuiston, the Michigan Department of Correction

11 records, a deposition of Dr. Papendick, a record of

replacement surgery at Jackson, a second Corizon expert

13 report, a Notice of Deposition, and a third Corizon

14 expert report. I can open any of these.

15 Q. Okay. What was the material you reviewed? You

16 said something about "request for services," or

17 something like that. I might have misunderstood you,

but it was before you started talking about the expert 18

19 reports that you got.

20 A. I don't understand the question.

21 Q. What was the thing that you mentioned that you

22 reviewed prior to mentioning -- I think it was

23 Dr. McKenna's expert report.

24 A. The amended complaint.

25 O. What was after that?

decisions regarding treatment?

A. Yes.

surgery.

23

24

Page 7

Q. And, in fact, you use your medical judgment every

Q. Do you agree, Doctor, that medical professionals

are taught to exercise medical judgment based upon their

day to make decisions regarding what treatment options

to use regarding the healthcare of your patients,

7 correct?

8 A. True.

Q. And you would agree that medical professionals

use medical judgment in making healthcare decisions

regarding patients?

A. Yes.

12

13 Q. You agree, Doctor, that doctors/physicians could

arrive at different treatment decisions using reasonable

15 medical judgment?

16 A. It's possible.

17 Q. Your opinion in this case is that, Dr. Papendick,

one of the defendants in this case, failed to exercise

19 proper medical judgment in treating Mr. Jackson,

20 correct?

21

23

A. I was wondering if my final report was available.

22 Q. Do you have that?

A. No.

24 Q. Okay.

25 MR. CORBET: Hey, Devlin, he said "final."

Page 8

Page 9

Page 10 Page 12 Does that mean there's more than one? 1 doctor's Exhibit A to his deposition. This will be 2 THE WITNESS: I don't have any belief that 2 marked out of order. I don't care. 3 there's more than one. BY MR. SCARBER: MR. CORBET: Okay. Q. Did you get a copy of this deposition notice? 4 THE WITNESS: What was the question again? 5 A. Yes. Does anybody have my report available, or not? And if Q. You got a copy of that? 6 7 the answer's no, then I can't answer that question, A. Yes. 8 because I'd like to look at my report. MR. SCARBER: And, Robin, what we'll do is 9 BY MR. SCARBER: we'll mark this deposition notice -- this is the 10 Q. Well, I may have a copy of your report that is deposition notice that we sent. We'll mark that as Exhibit B. 11 written up, where I got comments on it. I don't know if BY MR. SCARBER: 12 I have an unredacted copy of your report. I thought you 12 would have a copy of it for your deposition today. 13 13 Q. Did you have an opportunity to review the (Discussion off the record.) 14 deposition of the actual surgeon who performed 15 BY MR. SCARBER: 15 Mr. Jackson's colostomy in this case? 16 Q. Dr. Silverman, your opinion in this case is that 16 A. Everything I told you was all I reviewed. So the 17 Dr. Papendick failed to exercise proper medical judgment 17 answer to that would be no. in treating Mr. Jackson, correct? Q. So you didn't review Dr. Cansicar's testimony, 19 A. Yes. where she testified that, when she referenced a standard 20 Q. And based upon the failure to exercise proper of practice, what she was talking about was her standard 21 medical judgment, you believe that Dr. Papendick of practice, and not what everybody else does? 22 breached the standard of care? 22 A. Same answer, I haven't reviewed it. 23 A. Yes. 23 Q. And that's because, Doctor, that every doctor has 24 Q. And you believe that, as a result of the a certain standard that they might follow as a part of 25 decisions that he made based upon his medical judgment, their practice, right? Page 11 Page 13 resulting in a breach of the standard of care, as you A. I haven't reviewed her testimony. say, that Mr. Jackson was injured, correct? Q. You can answer that question though; every doctor 3 MR. CORBET: Misstates testimony. has a standard of a particular practice that they might 4 BY MR. SCARBER: do in their practice, and other doctors might have a 5 Q. Is that what you believe? different standard that they follow, right? A. Yes. A. Well, typically, there's an actual standard of 6 7 Q. And are those opinions that you're prepared to care; and if you're talking about the art of medicine, 8 discuss today? 8 some things are done differently to arrive at a similar 9 A. Yes. endpoint. 10 Q. Doctor, I do have -- you don't have your CV with 10 Q. But that's because every doctor's medical 11 you, right? judgment is not the same, right? 12 A. I do. 12 A. Medical judgment can be different. 13 Q. You do have it? 13 Q. And in your practice, Doctor, you've had patients A. I do. 14 who have gotten a second opinion about something you may 15 have told them, or wanted to do with respect to their Q. Okay. Take a look at this hardcopy of that, and 16 tell me if that's what you got; and that's probably --16 care, correct? 17 I'm going to tell you, we probably got that back in 17 A. It's possible. If you're asking me if I have a November of 2020, sometime around then. recollection of that, the answer would be no. 18 19 THE REPORTER: I can't hear you. 19 Q. Do you have a recollection, Doctor, that maybe 20 THE WITNESS: I'm just saying, that's not you, yourself, at some point, was sought out for maybe a 21 mine, that's not mine. There's extra papers delivered 21 second or third opinion from a patient that might have 22 to me. seen some other doctors prior to coming to you? 23 It's up-to-date. 23 A. I'm sure I've given second or third opinions. I MR. SCARBER: Robin, what we're going to do don't have independent recollections of it. is, we're going to mark his CV as exhibit -- the Q. But you should have over the course of your

Page 14

practice --

A. I just answered that.Q. Well, let me finish my question.

You believe that that has occurred over the

5 1 1000

5 course of your practice, since about 1998 or so?

A. Since 2004, which is when I started seeing

7 patients on my own, yes.

Q. And you reviewed Dr. Papendick's testimony in

9 this case it sounds like?

10 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

11 Q. And you reviewed his testimony, where he

12 testified about risk versus benefits of a colostomy

13 reversal for Mr. Jackson?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And basically, you disagree with the medical

16 judgment that he used in terms of risk or benefits for

17 Mr. Jackson?

18 A. True.

19

4

7

21

Q. That's true? You disagree with it?

20 A. That is true.

21 Q. Dr. Cansicar, in this case, testified that there

22 can be differences of opinion amongst doctors regarding

23 colostomy reversals, concerning whether to do them. Do

24 you agree with that?

25 A. I didn't read the deposition.

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Page} \ 16 \\ 1 \end{array} \ \ {\rm the} \ {\rm question}, \ {\rm you} \ {\rm can} \ {\rm just} \ {\rm tell} \ {\rm me} \ {\rm you} \ {\rm can't} \ {\rm answer} \ {\rm it}. \end{array}$

2 If I ask you the same question, or it seems like it's a

2 and adapt mostly and a second the mostly but an

3 redundant question, you can answer the question, but you

4 can't tell me how to ask the question.

A. But I said to you that I haven't reviewed her

6 testimony, yet you keep asking, "Have I reviewed her

7 testimony?"

Q. Are you here to answer questions?

A. I'm certainly here to answer questions.

10 Q. I would appreciate it if you do that. If you've

got a problem with the way I'm asking questions --

12 A. I've already said that.

13 Q. You have an attorney here who is representing

4 you, who can object. Just like you've gone to medical

15 school, he's gone to law school. Just like you've

passed boards, he's passed the bar exam, and he can say

.7 whatever, and tell an objection that he wants to make

relative to the way I'm asking questions, okay?

A. That's fine.

20 Q. Okay. So you would agree, Doctor, then, that

21 there can be differences of opinion amongst doctors as

22 with respect to the timing of when to do a colostomy

23 reversal?

19

24

7

8

11

A. It's possible.

25 Q. It's possible that there could be differences of

Page 15

Q. Do you agree that there can be differences of opinions regarding doctors, concerning whether to do

2 opinions regarding doctors, concerning whether to do

3 colostomy reversals?

A. Doctors can review a patient's chart, a clinical

5 history, and make a decision about when the colostomy

6 should be reversed.

Q. And they can reach different conclusions based

8 upon what they review, right?

9 A. It's possible.

10 Q. Did you review her testimony, where she testified

11 that there could be differences of opinion regarding

2 colostomy reversals as to when they should be done, in

13 terms of the timing?

14 A. I didn't review her testimony.

15 Q. But do you agree that --

16 A. My question is, why do you keep asking if I

17 reviewed the testimony, when I've told you I haven't

18 reviewed the testimony? If you want to ask a question

19 about the testimony, that's different, but my answer's

20 going to be the same. I haven't reviewed her testimony.

Q. Let me stop you right there. As the attorney,

22 I'm going to be the one asking the questions. You're

the one here to answer the questions. You don't have to

24 question me, with all due respect, as to why I might be

25 asking a question in a certain way. If you can't answer

medical judgment, differences of opinion?

A. That's what I said.

Q. The surgeon in this case, Dr. Silverman, who is

Dr. Cansicar, testified to -- after looking at various

5 articles and language from articles, she came to those

conclusions that we just talked about.

A. Which conclusions were those?

Q. The conclusions that there could be differences

among whether to do a reversal and the timing of when to

do a reversal, if a doctor decides he wants to do one.

A. Okav.

12 Q. Would you agree, Doctor, that there would be, not

only medical testimony to support that from physicians

14 that will say that, but there would be articles that

15 would acknowledge that fact as well?

A. I don't need articles to tell me that.

17 Physicians can look at patients' charts, patients'

records, physical exams, and come to conclusions about

when a colostomy can be reversed.

 ${\tt Q.}$ And they can come to conclusions as to whether or

21 not it should be reversed at all, correct?

22 A. True

Q. You, in particular, Dr. Silverman, you had no

24 involvement in the medical decisions and medical

judgments that were made concerning this particular

Page 17

July 23, 2021 Page 18 Page 20 1 case, correct? records in this case document that, on at least two 2 occasions, the healthcare providers in the Michigan A. Yes. Department of Corrections contacted the surgeon's office 3 Q. And you were retained by the plaintiff, correct? 3 about Mr. Jackson's colostomy, and a potential reversal; 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And you were retained by the plaintiff to give an and that, on both occasions, the surgeon's office stated opinion to the plaintiff, right? that there were no urgent medical issues, and the 6 7 A. I was retained by the plaintiff to review the colostomy is functional, and that there is no medical 8 medical records and come to a conclusion about the care necessity? 9 delivered in the case, correct. A. I am aware that those calls were made. 10 Q. And the conclusions that you came to were 10 Q. And you reviewed Dr. Papendick's testimony, where 11 supporting the plaintiff's position, correct? he discussed that, in his medical judgment, the risk for A. That is true. 12 doing surgery for Mr. Jackson outweighed the benefit of 13 Q. And you were also paid by the plaintiff to review 13 doing the surgery, correct? 14 records and come to the conclusions that you would come 14 A. I did read that testimony, in fact. 15 to, correct? 15 Q. You disagree with that, right? 16 A. I was paid by the hour to review the records and 16 A. I wholly disagree with that. 17 come to a conclusion. 17 Q. And Dr. Papendick testified that, in his medical Q. Dr. Silverman, do you ever call another doctor's 18 judgment, based upon information he was provided, office or medical facility, so that you can get Mr. Jackson was having no medical problems back in 19 information for further understanding about a particular April 2017/March of 2017. Are you aware of that? 21 patient that you might be treating? 21 22 22 A. I don't understand the question. Can you Q. And do you disagree with that? 23 rephrase? 23 A. Yes. 24 24 Q. From the records that you saw, would you please Q. Yes. 25 As a doctor, if you know that a patient may have tell me what physical medical problem that you saw, that Page 19 Page 21 seen another doctor, or go to another hospital, or Mr. Jackson had back in March or April of 2017, with treated at another facility, would you ever call that respect to his colostomy? particular hospital or doctor's office maybe to get some A. The records will reflect that Mr. Jackson, in fact, developed not only anxiety, psychological issues 4 information about the particular patient, or some further information to help your understanding about a and stress about having a colostomy that was perfectly particular patient? able to be reversed, but also that he was -- tried to, 6 7 A. If the record didn't accurately or fully answer in fact, hide the smell of his colostomy, and the fact the questions that I had in mind on this particular that he was assaulted, and punched in the colostomy 8 8 patient, if there wasn't enough information, then I because of his issues, causing, at least on paper, 10 would, in fact, call the physician. 10 issues regarding his psychology. 11 Q. Okay. And you would do that out of concern for 11 Q. Are you a psychologist, Dr. Silverman? 12 the patient's care, correct? 12 A. No, but --13 A. I would do that to make sure that the information 13 Q. I just want you to answer my question. 14 that I had was complete before I delivered care to the A. I'm not done answering my question. 15 15 patient. Q. Are you a psychologist? 16 Q. And you feel like that is something that is a 16 A. I'm not done --Q. Go ahead. 17 17 safe practice to do concerning a patient, right? 18 A. I think it's a reasonable practice to do, and 18 A. -- answering my question. 19 it's safe if you need information that is not present. 19 Q. If your answer is you are not a psychologist, yes 20 Q. Information that you might obtain, the facts that 20 or no, go ahead. 21 you might obtain might assist you in the medical 21 A. We can stop this right now. If you're not going 22 decisions and judgments that you are going to be making 22 to let me answer my question, we can finish this now.

24

25

What's it going to be, Counselor?

A. I am speaking.

Q. Listen, you're here to testify.

23

24

25

about that particular patient, correct?

A. It may or it may not assist you.

Q. Dr. Silverman, are you aware that the medical

Page 22 Page 24 1 Q. If I ask a yes or no question --1 problems. Other than psychological and anxiety 2 2 problems, are you aware of any other medical problems, A. You're not letting me answer my question. Q. Go ahead. I would ask you to direct --3 physical conditions that we're talking about, that 3 MR. CORBET: Answer the question. We'll 4 Mr. Jackson would have had in March or April of 2017? 4 5 discuss it on cross when he's done asking his questions, 6 okav? Q. Dr. Papendick testified that the patient was THE WITNESS: To answer the question, that having absolutely no complaints, no medical problems, I'm not a psychologist. I have dealt with numerous but was just saying he wanted a reversal back in March patients over the last 17 years -or April of 2017. Did you read that in his deposition? 10 BY MR. SCARBER: 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. You've answered my question. Thank you. Q. Did you read in any record, in March or April 12 So the yes or no answer to my question, of 2017, when this was reviewed by Dr. Papendick, where the patient was making any medical complaints, talking 13 Dr. Silverman, is you're not a psychologist, correct? 14 Yes or no? about pain, or that his colostomy wasn't functioning 15 A. I'm not going to answer that. 15 properly? 16 16 A. No. Q. Have you gone to school for psychology? 17 17 Q. In fact, there's nothing in the records that say Q. Do you have a psychological degree? anything like that, is there? A. I don't think there's such things as A. True. 19 19 20 psychological degrees. 20 Q. And did you review Mr. Jackson's testimony in 21 Q. Do you have a Ph.D. in psychology? 21 this case? 22 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Do you have a medical degree in psychiatry? 23 Q. And when did you review that? 24 24 A. I think that was more recently. 25 25 Q. Do you prescribe medications for depression or Q. Was it, like, within the last month? Page 23 Page 25 anxiety as a result of a psychiatric condition? 1 A. Yes. 2 A. No. Q. Was it within the last week? 3 Q. Is it fair to say, Doctor, that you are not a A. I don't recall. Possibly. 4 licensed psychologist or psychiatrist? Q. Did you review his testimony, that as soon as he got to prison from the St. Clair County Jail, he was A. Yes. You can lower your voice too. Q. Thank you. Now I'm going to go back to my 6 planning to file a lawsuit against the jail because he 6 7 original question. 7 hadn't gotten a reversal in jail? 8 8 A. Yes. I think he also threatened that in the Aside from psychological problems that you've 9 identified, and anxiety problems that you've identified, records as well, the medical records. 10 can you tell me an actual physical medicine condition 10 Q. And did you review where he testified that he 11 that Mr. Jackson had with respect to his colostomy back essentially came to prison, saying he would sue the in April or March of 2017? prison too, even though he had no physical complaints 13 A. He was punched and it had blood in it. 13 about the colostomy? Q. That happened in March of 2017. So I'm going to A. I do recall that. 15 15 ask the question again. Q. And Dr. Papendick testified about Mr. Jackson 16 Other than -- with respect to him having an 16 having concerns -- strike the question. I'll restate 17 actual physical condition that can be diagnosed by a 17 medical doctor, a physical problem with his colostomy 18 18 Dr. Papendick testified that he was actually 19 that he had had by Dr. Kansakar back in December having concerns, that the surgery could actually harm 20 of 2016, did you find in the records a specific medical Mr. Jackson, didn't he? 20 21 related injury, a physical medicine injury that 21 A. Yes. 22 Mr. Jackson was experiencing in March of 2017 or April 22 Q. And Dr. Kansakar testified that there are 23 of 2017? significant risks to Mr. Jackson for things like a 24 A. Not other than what I've already said. potential need for a re-operation, for leaking after the

reversal surgery, for damage to the surrounding

22 to 25

25

Q. Well, you mention psychological and anxiety

3

Page 26 structure, including the ureter and genitourinary

- 2 system, infection, heart attack, stroke, death? You
- 3 agree that those risks can occur, correct, Doctor?
- 4 A. Those are the general risks of abdominal surgery.
- 5 Q. And those are the same risks that you actually
- 6 have to tell your patients when you're getting ready to
- 7 perform a procedure like this on them?
- A. Not only are they the same risks, but they are
- 9 the same risks that exist whether you're in prison or
- 10 whether you're out of prison.
 - Q. I'm going to ask my question again.
- 12 A. All right. You ask your question all you want,
- 13 Counsel.

11

- 14 Q. But I'm going to ask my question until I get an
- 15 answer.
- 16 A. Ask it again.
- 17 Q. My question is, these are the same risks that you
- 18 provide to your patients before you get ready to perform
- 19 a procedure on them, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Thank you.
- 22 And even the records of the surgeon who performed
- 23 the colostomy reversal on Mr. Jackson in June of 2019
- 24 recognized the same risks. Did you see that in the
- 25 records, or did you not review those records?

- 1 consent after lengthy discussion."
- 2 Q. Thank you.
 - This is the surgical report of June 19th, 2019,

Page 28

Page 29

- 4 by Dr. Weber. It is page 574 of 579 of the DMC medical
- records. I won't mark it.
- Dr. Silverman, colostomy reversals are elective
- 7 surgeries, right?
- A. Yes, they're not emergencies. They're not
- emergent procedures, right.
- 10 Q. They can be performed, or oftentimes not
 - performed, correct?
- 12 A. They can be performed, and if there are
- 13 contraindications, they can be not performed.
- 14 Q. And many patients elect to have a colostomy
- 15 reversal, and many don't elect to have it, correct?
- 17 have it reversed, and rarely someone will not elect to
- 18 have it reversed.
 - Q. But you have seen that there are patients who get
- 20 it and patients who don't get it, right?
 - A. I have, as I just testified to.
- Q. And there's nothing mandating that the reversal
- 23 be done, correct, unless there is some kind of
- 4 serious -- serious damage occurring to the patient,
- 25 making it an emergent situation, right?

Page 27

- 1 A. Which records?
 - Q. The records where he gets his colostomy reversal.
- 3 A. I did review those records.
- 4 Q. You received the DMC records?
- 5 A. I believe I reviewed a -- maybe just the
- 6 operative report. Maybe not the entire record.
- $\ensuremath{\text{7}}$ Q. To speed this up, is that something that you
- 8 might have looked at?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. It's front and back.
- 11 Does that look like something that you would have
- 12 reviewed, Doctor?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. All right. Let me see that back for a second. I
- 15 appreciate it.
- 16 Can you read this last sentence, here, for the
- 17 record, Doctor? Just read the last sentence of that
- 18 paragraph.
- 19 A. "After patient was made aware of all the risks
- 20 and benefits of the procedure, including, but not
- 21 limited to the risk of heart attack, stroke, death,
- 22 infection, the potential need for re-operation, and the
- 23 potential for a leak, or potential for damage to
- 24 surrounding structures, including the ureter and
- 25 genitourinary system, the patient signed informed

- A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. There's nothing that is mandated for the patient,
- 3 that he actually has to have a colostomy reversal,
- correct?

- MR. CORBET: Objection, vague.
- THE WITNESS: Mandated to have a colostomy
- reversal?
- 8 BY MR. SCARBER:
- 9 Q. I'll rephrase the question.
- 10 Is it mandating for a patient, that he must 11 undergo a colostomy reversal?
- A. If a patient does not want to undergo a colostomy
- 13 reversal, the patient does not have to undergo a
- 14 colostomy reversal.
- 15 Q. And you're not aware of any particular mandate
- 16 that says, after you have a colostomy, you must
- 17 100 percent, absolutely have to have a colostomy
- 18 reversal?
- 19 A. Correct.
 - Q. In fact, Doctor, in both of Mr. Jackson's visits
- 21 with Dr. Kansakar in December of 2016 and January
- 22 of 2017, are you aware that she testified that he had no
- 23 complaints regarding his colostomy?
 - A. I didn't review her testimony.
- Q. Are you aware from looking at the records? You

Page 32 Page 30 said you reviewed the records. Did you review the statement. There were two statements. 2 records? 2 THE WITNESS: I don't remember what I said. 3 3 BY MR. SCARBER: A. Yes. Q. Are you aware from reviewing the records, that Q. My question was, are you aware that Mr. Jackson 4 4 when she saw him in the follow-up visits, he was in good is not even alleging claims in this particular lawsuit condition, productive, functioning properly, and had no for any physical damage that occurred to him as a result 6 of not having his colostomy reversal performed any pain issues? 8 A. I'm aware of that. 8 sooner? 9 Q. You have no reason to disagree with her 9 A. And I think I said I'm not aware of that, but I'm 10 examination in her records, do you? 10 not disputing it either. A. I have no reason to disagree with her. 11 Q. Okay. I believe you did. 11 12 Q. Doctor, you mentioned in your report, that the 12 He's not claiming, Dr. Silverman, that he had --13 that his reconnection procedure was difficult? 13 longer a reversal is delayed, the more likely the chance A. I don't think so. 14 of developing fibrosis in the pelvis, where the rectal 14 15 stump sits, and it can cause a difficult reconnection 15 Q. He's not claiming that he's got any kind of poor 16 procedure, and poor functional results of incontinence 16 functioning, correct? 17 and stricture formation. Do you recall that? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. In fact, Dr. Silverman, after Mr. Jackson went Q. None of these problems existed with Mr. Jackson, for his colostomy reversal in 2019, he hasn't seen any 19 20 did they? doctor in two years almost, for any complaints regarding 21 A. Nope. his colostomy or any of the organs that are involved 22 Q. In fact, he's functioning just fine after his with his colostomy. Are you aware of that? 23 reversal that occurred in June 2019, right? 23 A. I don't know those facts. I'm not disputing them 24 24 either. A. Yes. 25 25 Q. So regardless of whether he got it in two months, Q. And in all sincerity, Dr. Silverman, since Page 33 Page 31 1 one year, two years, two-and-a-half years, Mr. Jackson Mr. Jackson's release from prison in May 2019, 2 did not suffer any adverse injury or medical condition Mr. Jackson has been shot twice, been involved in a car 3 as a result of not getting the reversal back in 2017, accident, but after his reversal was completed, he has 4 correct? never been to any doctor for any problems, specifically, 5 A. He didn't suffer a physical complication from his because his reversal did not occur at any point any sooner. Are you aware of that? 6 reversal surgery. 7 Q. Thank you. 7 A. No. 8 8 Are you aware, Dr. Silverman, that Mr. Jackson is Q. Do you dispute that? 9 not even alleging claims in this lawsuit for any 9 A. No. 10 physical damage for not having the colostomy reversal 10 Q. You weren't shown any records disputing that, 11 sooner? 11 correct? 12 A. I don't understand the question. 12 A. I wasn't shown any records at all like that. 13 Q. Are you aware that Mr. Jackson is not even Q. And you testified earlier, and I think I showed alleging in this lawsuit that he sustained any physical you while you were testifying, the operative report from 15 damage for not having his colostomy reversal sooner? 15 his colostomy reversal. A. I'm not --16 16 Are you aware that, when he got the colostomy 17 17 reversal done, Dr. Weber's report indicates that he THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, wait. wasn't having any issues just before he did his 18 THE WITNESS: I'm not disputing that either. 18 19 THE REPORTER: One second. There was an 19 reversal? 20 objection. I didn't hear it. 20 A. I don't recall that. I'm not disputing that. 21 MR. CORBET: I said objection, foundation. Q. I'll just show it to you, and I'll reference page 21 22 THE REPORTER: And, Doctor, if you could 22 575 of that operative report. Can you read that right 23 repeat your answer. there? Just the language in the first paragraph. 24 MR. SCARBER: You didn't hear his answer? 24 A. "He has no issues." 25 THE REPORTER: No. I got the last 25 Q. Dr. Silverman, is it true, or are you aware that

30 to 33

Page 34 1 several courts have found that your testimony and

- opinions lack credibility? 2
- 3 A. Am I aware that courts have found my testimony to
- be -- lack of credibility, is that the question?
- 5 Q. Yes.
- 6 A. No.
- Q. You've never heard of a court, basically, saying
- that your testimony lacked credibility, or you were
- testifying to things that you weren't even qualified to
- 10 testify to?
- 11 A. There are two instances that I will put on the 12 record, where I was not allowed to testify.
- 13 The first instance was a case in Tennessee; and
- if you are familiar with Tennessee Caselaw, you have to 14
- 15 be living in the state one year before the incident, and
- 16 that state must be touching a border of Tennessee. I
- 17 had moved back to Texas from St. Louis more than one
- year before the event, and Texas does not touch the
- 19 border of Tennessee, and, therefore, I wasn't allowed to
- 20 testify.
- 21 There was another instance in, I believe,
- 22 Michigan, in fact, where there was a case against a
- 23 general surgeon, and I was specializing in colorectal
- 24 surgery, and was not allowed to give testimony because
- 25 the overwhelming majority of my work was in colorectal

Page 36

Page 37

- Mich.App.Lexus 57. That's docket No. 334243.
- 3 You ran into a problem in Ohio as well, where

versus Dean case; that is, D-e-a-n. It is 2018

- your credibility and opinions were found to lack -- be
- lacking in credibility when you tried to give expert
- testimony there? 6
- A. I don't recall that.
- Q. The records of the case of Gysegem versus Ohio
- State University, Wexner Medical Center, G-y-s-e-g-e-m;
- Wexner is W-e-x-n-e-r. This is a Court of Claims of
- Ohio, September 8th, 2020. Case ID number for that is
- 2018-00113JD. The cite for that is going to be 2020
- Ohio Misc, M-i-s-c, period, Lexus 152.
- Does any of that ring a bell for you?
- 15 A. No.

14

16

21

24

- MR. CROSS: Devlin, can you spell the first
- name of that case in Ohio again, please.
 - MR. SCARBER: Yes, it's G-y-s-e-q-e-m.
 - MR. CORBET: Thank you.
- 20 BY MR. SCARBER:
 - Q. In that particular case, Dr. Silverman, the court
- found that your opinions were biased and less credible
- than the other witnesses.
 - A. I don't know anything about it.
 - Q. Why don't I let you look at it, and then I'll ask

Page 35

- surgery and not general surgery. If there's something
- 2 I'm missing, please tell me.
- 3 Q. So let's talk about the Michigan case. Thank you
- 4 for mentioning that Tennessee case. Let's talk about
- the Michigan case first.
- 6 It was a case called Wilson versus Dean, a Court 7 of Appeals decision in January 9th of 2018; and in that
- 8 particular case, you indicated that you were trying to
- testify about a general surgery standard of practice,
- and the court found that you were not even sufficiently
- 11 practicing general surgery in order to testify about it,
- 12
- 13 A. I stated that for the record, yes.
- Q. So the court in Wilson, in Michigan, the state
- 15 that we're -- that this case involves, the court in
- 16 Wilson found that you were trying to give opinions that
- 17 you weren't even qualified to give, right?
- 18 A. I'm qualified. I am a practicing general
- 19 surgeon. The rules in Michigan, from my
- 20 understanding -- I'm not a lawyer -- is I wasn't doing
- 21 enough general surgery in order to be an expert in
- 22 general surgery, because the overwhelming majority of my
- work was colorectal surgery, even though I'm a full
- 24 functioning general surgeon.
- 25 Q. And just for the record, the cite for the Wilson

- you some questions about it.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. All right. So the court in that case, from which
- you just read, stated, "Dr. Silverman has demonstrated a
- willingness to testify outside his area of expertise,"
- correct? End quote.
 - A. That's what it says.
- Q. It says, quote -- this is the courts findings --
- "20 to 25 percent of Dr. Silverman's income is generated
- from Dr. Silverman's case reviews and testimony, with
- about 95 percent of the reviews being performed on
- behalf of plaintiffs," end quote. Is that true?
 - A. Yes.

13

- Q. It also said in that case, that you actually
- claim to have performed hundreds of surgical procedures,
- but that the overwhelming majority were earlier in your
- 17 career, right?
 - A. Are you talking about a specific surgery?
- 19 Q. I'm talking about the court's findings about your
- credibility in this Ohio case. Did you see that?
- 21 A. You're going to have to put it in context for 22 the --
- 23 Q. Do you want me to read it?
- A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. "Dr. Silverman -- quote, "While Dr. Silverman

Page 38

3

asserts that he has performed hundreds of appendectomies

- 2 and colocecostomies in his career, Dr. Silverman admits
- that he performed the overwhelming majority of 3
- colocecostomies early in his career, when he was engaged 4
- 5 in more general surgery."
- 6 A. That's true. Absolutely true. I've always
- testified that I've skewed toward colorectal surgery in
- my career and general surgery. Absolutely true, and I
- 9 testified to the truth.

14

- 10 Q. Well, the court indicates here that you were 11 actually misrepresenting that you had some kind of
- 12 expertise in an area that you didn't have expertise in.
- 13 A. The court is saying that I did most of my general surgery in appendectomies and colocecostomies earlier in
- 15 my career, which I testified to truthfully.
- 16 Q. In also says here, quote, "With no evidence,
- 17 Dr. Silverman suggested that a doctor, Dr. Eiferman,
- exhibited a lack of care for his patients," end quote. 18
- 19 The court's saying here, Doctor, that, with no
- 20 evidence, you made a suggestion about another doctor 21 doing something wrong. Why would you do that?
- 22 A. I'd have to review the case, and you presenting
- 23 me this in the last two or three minutes, doesn't nearly
- 24 prepare myself for these kind of questions. Like this
- case and other cases, I reviewed the records, and I came

- Page 40
- risk that the risk of dying from anesthesia is three
- percent. That didn't happen either, Counselor. 2
 - Q. So you recognize that there are risks, right?
 - A. Asked and answered. I've testified to that.
 - Q. Thanks for your objection. I appreciate it, but
- 6 my question is more directed to the fact that, if you
- write your report in November of 2020, and we know from
- the medical records, at least from 2019 forward, that
- Mr. Jackson hasn't had any complications at all, no
- problems reconnecting anything, no problems with
- incontinence, why would you even suggest anything like
- 12 that is possible in your report, when you have no
- 13 evidence for it?
- A. I will answer that question with a question. Why 14
- would Dr. Papendick suggest that all of these risks and
- 16 all these complications from anesthesia; and, in fact,
- Dr. Kansakar, the risk of having surgery, none of that
- happened either, Counselor, which leads me to believe
- that this thing could have been done earlier.
- 20 Q. Let me ask you a question -- and I'm just going 21 to stop you and move to strike for being nonresponsive.
- 22 Dr. Papendick was an expert in this case.
- Dr. Papendick hasn't been driven -- gone through the
- courts and been called biassed and not credible.
 - My question to you is, you wrote a report almost

Page 39

25

- to an opinion about it.
- 2 Q. The court says -- it goes on to say, quote, "Such
- 3 a suggestion demonstrates bias and affects
- 4 Dr. Silverman's overall credibility," end quote.
- 5 Are you aware, Doctor, that there's not many
- 6 courts that go on the record and say that an expert
- 7 witness lacks credibility and is biassed?
- 8 A. I'm not aware.
- 9 Q. Have you heard about the courts saying that about
- 10 a lot of other medical experts?
- 11 A. I'm not aware.
- 12 Q. And yet, in this case we're here for today, all
- 13 of this business that you're talking about, about
- Mr. Jackson having these potential problems from not
- 15 getting a colostomy reversal sooner, you have absolutely
- 16 no evidence to suggest, from the records, that any of
- 17 those problems ever occurred with Mr. Jackson that you
- were talking about, or these complications about him not 18
- 19 getting a reversal sooner, right?
- 20 A. I didn't testify that he didn't have any
- 21 complications.
- 22 Q. You put in your report, Doctor, that if he
- doesn't get the reversal sooner, he would have all of
- 24 these problems and complications, didn't you?
- 25 A. I said it was a risk, such as Dr. Papendick put a

- Page 41 a year-and-a-half later, talking about some things that
- could have happened to this guy after surgery that never
- happened, and I want to know why. Why would you say
 - something like that?
 - A. Because it's the truth.
- Q. Okay. 6
- A. The longer you wait to reverse a colostomy, the
- 8 more intraabdominal scarring, and the other things that
- 9 I mentioned can happen. It doesn't mean it's going to.
- Nothing is a hundred percent, Counselor, but it doesn't
- mean that it can't happen.
- Q. Let me ask you this question then. So it sounds
- like, Dr. Silverman, what you're saying is that, because
- these things could happen, it was worth you considering
 - these types of things when you were issuing your expert
- 16 report, correct?
 - A. Absolutely. Absolutely.
- 18 Q. It was worth you mentioning that these things
- 19 could happen, that these are risks that could happen,
- when you were trying to consider, with your medical
- 21 judgment, what the standard should be, right?
- 22 A. That's right.
 - Q. Thank you.
 - When was the last time you performed a colostomy

17

23

Page 42 Page 44 1 A. Last week. I usually do one or two a week. Q. Has your license ever been revoked or suspended? 2 Q. When's the last time you performed a colostomy? 2 A. No. 3 A. A colostomy? Last week. 3 Q. Have you ever had any disciplinary action with Q. Doctor, what I'm going to do is rest at this respect to staff privileges anywhere? 4 particular time, because I want to see if I can pop-up some of the stuff we got; and we've got another attorney Q. Has anybody filed a complaint against your here, a Mr. Corbet, who is probably going to have some license as far as you know? questions for you as well. So why don't I do that. A. Not as far as I know. Thank you for your time. I appreciate it. Q. Did anybody -- Are you a member of any medical 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 10 societies? 11 BY MR. CORBET: A. The American Society of Colorectal Surgeons and 11 Q. Hi, Doctor. Can you hear me okay? 12 12 the American College of Surgeons. 13 A. Yes. 13 Q. Has anybody filed a complaint against you with 14 Q. My name's Dan Corbet. I represent the medical 14 respect to those societies? 15 providers at St. Clair County Jail, okay? 15 A. Not that I know of. Q. And you have done -- How many cases in Michigan 16 A. Who do you represent? 16 17 Q. The -- it's actually the nurse and -- at the 17 have you reviewed? saint -- and the company that employed her, or that she A. This year you said? was working with at the St. Clair County Jail. Do you Q. No, just in general. 20 follow me or no? 20 A. Is the question, how many cases total have I ever 21 MR. SCARBER: So he was in jail first, and 21 reviewed? 22 then he goes to prison after he was in jail. Q. In Michigan. 23 THE WITNESS: Right. 23 A. In Michigan, excuse me. 24 I don't know. Maybe four or five. MR. SCARBER: So he's alleging -- there's 24 25 allegations that the jail didn't do something and that Q. Is there one particular state that you do most of Page 43 Page 45 the prison didn't do something afterwards. your reviews out of? 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you. A. I tend to be a preponderance in Tennessee. 3 MR. SCARBER: He's the first quy. Q. Any idea why? 4 MR. CORBET: Thank you, Devlin. I A. Because of what I just discussed about experts in Tennessee, in terms of the Tennessee Laws have to be 5 appreciate that. BY MR. CORBET: touching a continuous state. 6 7 Q. So do you follow along with that, Doctor? 7 Q. Where you practice now is -- you connect with 8 A. Yes. 8 Tennessee? 9 Q. Okay. Let me go back a few things, and your -- I A. That is correct. 10 guess I can start with your medical school. Where did Q. Is that why you moved from Texas to Tennessee? 11 you go to medical school? A. I've never moved to Tennessee. 12 A. University of Missouri, Kansas City. 12 Q. Oh, I'm sorry. To St. Louis, my bad. 13 Q. And where did you do a residency at? 13 A. I'm from St. Louis. That's not the reason I 14 A. St. Louis University. moved. 15 Q. Did you do a fellowship in colorectal? 15 Q. Sorry to be redundant, but you've read the A. Yes. 16 deposition of Dr. Kansakar, Mr. Jackson, and 17 Q. Where was that at? 17 Dr. Papendick; is that right? 18 A. William Beaumont. 18 A. I did not read the deposition of -- the first 19 Q. And you're board certified in? 19 deposition you mentioned. 20 A. General surgery and colorectal surgery. 20 Q. I'm sorry. All right. My bad again. 21 Q. And what years were you board certified in those You read the depositions of Mr. Jackson and 21 22 disciplines? 22 Dr. Papendick, correct? 23 A. 2003-ish. 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Both of them were around the same time? Q. And you said -- I think you said you might have 25 A. Around the same time, one after the other. read Mr. Jackson's in the last week or so maybe; is that

Page 46 Page 48 1 fair? 1 Q. I'm sorry. 2 2 MR. CORBET: Can you hear me okay, Robin? A. Something like that. Q. And Dr. Papendick's, when did you read that one THE REPORTER: Yes. 3 3 4 do you think? (Wherein, question is read back 5 A. In the last couple of weeks, two, three weeks. I upon request.) can't recall. THE WITNESS: Who pays for it? Was that the 6 Q. And have you asked to read the deposition of question? 8 Dr. Kansakar? BY MR. CORBET: 9 A. I can't recall. O. Yes. 10 Q. But you've known about Dr. Kansakar -- Strike 10 A. No, I don't know who pays for it. 11 that. Q. Do you know if nurse Colleen had the authority to 12 So earlier in the deposition you said something grant or deny out-of-jail appointments? 13 about a final report, and I'm looking at your report 13 A. I don't know that. from December of 2020, and what threw me off is it's 14 14 Q. Do you know if Mr. Jackson had a history of 15 labeled, "Preliminary Expert Report." 15 violence? 16 So my question is, is there one report, or are 16 A. While I was making my report, I think that I read 17 there two, a preliminary and final? where he was in jail for possibly armed robbery; and then, of course, counselor over here mentioned some 18 A. I don't know. I'm assuming that my preliminary stuff that happened, perhaps -- I forget what he said, 19 is my final. 20 Q. Okay. Are you aware that the nurse at the after he got out of or before he got out of, an assault 21 jail -- her first name is Colleen; that she contacted or something like that. That's what I know of. 22 Dr. Kansakar's office on at least one or two occasions 22 Q. So at least, as of the time when his colostomy --23 to discuss the colostomy reversal with that office? where he had the colostomy while in the county jail, he A. Yes, I think we've already visited this line of had a history of violence; at least, as far as you know, 25 questioning. armed robbery. Fair enough? Page 49 Page 47 Q. Okay. So you're aware that she contacted the A. Yes. office. I'm specifically talking about the nurse. Q. Let me go back to my notes here. Have we heard all of your opinions that you're 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. I'm not sure you went through that specifically going to give in this case? 5 before. A. Yes. 6 And what was she told by Dr. Kansakar's office or Q. Have you ever reviewed any other cases for 7 office manager? Mr. Cross's or Mr. Margolis's firm? 8 A. Something along the lines of, it's not an 8 A. Not to my knowledge. 9 emergency to reverse the ostomy. Q. Do you know how they got ahold of your name? 10 Q. Was she also told it's based on the personal A. I don't recall. 11 comfort of the patient? Q. Is your curriculum vitae up-to-date? 12 A. I don't recall. 12 13 Q. Can you tell us how many times Mr. Jackson was 13 Q. Do you have an academic appointment? taken out of the St. Clair County Jail to some sort of a A. I have an unpaid academic appointment as a medical appointment? 15 clinical assistant, professor of surgery for St. Louis 16 A. I don't know offhand how many times he was taken. 16 University. 17 Q. Well, it was more than one, because he had 17 Q. So what's the hierarchy of those positions? Can surgery, right? 18 you run through them for me? 19 A. Right. 19 A. I, myself, don't know the hierarchy. I've had 20 Q. Do you think it was more than five or less than that particular appointment since 2012-ish, and that's 20 21 five? 21 what they appointed me, to teach medical students, so 22 A. Don't know. 22 that's all I know. 23 Q. Do you know who paid for the out-of-jail Q. So what was it you were called, an assistant --24 appointment/medical care? A. Assistant professor of surgery is what they --25 A. I'm sorry? I didn't hear you. O. Assistant.

Page 50 1 For which hospital? Q. So most of the procedures you do are coloscopies? 2 A. St. Louis University. A. If you're going by volume as a unit, by itself, Q. Now, I know there's steps to go up to get to full 3 3 the answer would be yes. professor, right? 4 Q. Would there be another way to do it, other than 5 A. There are. by volume of the number of procedures? Q. Is there anything above full professor that you A. You can do it by time, Counselor. 6 6 know of at St. Louis University? Q. Let's do it by time. What procedure do you spend 8 A. I don't know. the most time doing? 9 Q. How many steps below that are you right now? A. Colostomies, colon surgery. 10 A. I'm not even on that track. I have no idea. 10 Q. How many of those do you do a week on average? 11 Q. So I always thought it started out with, like, A. Anywhere between four and six. 12 clinical assistant professor of surgery, then you moved 12 Q. How many colonoscopies do you do per week on 13 13 up the ladder. You're not even -- that doesn't even average? A. Probably 10 to 15. 14 apply to you? 14 15 A. Correct, it doesn't apply to me. 15 Q. Oh, have you -- and I know there's an objection 16 Q. Is there a hierarchy or a ladder that -- which to relevance on this, but for discovery, have you ever 16 17 would pertain to you? 17 been sued for malpractice? A. Twice. 18 Q. So it's just clinical assistant professor of Q. In what states? 19 19 20 surgery, and it begins there and stops there? 20 A. Missouri. 21 A. What was the last thing you said? 21 Q. Have you received any -- Do you have Notice of 22 Q. It begins there and stops there. It's not like 22 Intent in Missouri? 23 you can move up the ladder at all? 23 A. I'm sorry? A. Right, it's an unpaid position. It's a volunteer 24 Q. Have you ever heard of a Notice of Intent? 25 position. A. No, I don't know what that is. Page 51 Page 53 Q. But there's not anything on your track that's Q. In Michigan, we have something called "Notice of 2 above that? Like, for instance, after two years, you Intent to Sue." You've never received one of those in apply for -- I don't know -- whatever's above clinical Missouri? assistant professor of surgery? A. I don't think so. 4 5 A. I have no idea. I don't think so. I have no Q. Okay. And the two times you were sued in Missouri, they're not ongoing cases right now, are they? 6 idea. 7 Q. How long have you been on staff at St. Louis 7 A. No. 8 University? 8 Q. I'm sorry, you said, no? 9 A. 2012. A. No. 10 Q. Oh, sorry. You told me that. I apologize. 10 Q. Okay. So then let me ask you. Did they go to 11 Have you done any research for your opinions in trial at all? 12 this case? 12 13 A. No. 13 Q. Were -- don't tell me any number if they were Q. You're a colorectal surgeon. Is there any settled, but were they settled? sub-interest/specialty that you're more interested in? 15 15 A. I think they were dropped. My name was dropped. A. I am boarded in colorectal surgery. 16 Q. Do you know -- sometimes you can drop someone's 16 name, but there was still a settlement. Do you know if 17 Q. I mean, is there a subset of that? Like, you're 17 18 the subspecialist for oncology cases, or something like there were settlements? 18 19 that? 19 A. I don't know. I have no idea. 20 A. No. 20 Q. Did you give depositions in those cases? 21 Q. You spend most -- do you spend most of your time 21 A. At least one of them I did. 22 doing -- what? De -- What procedure do you spend most 22 Q. Can you just briefly tell me what the case 23 of your time doing? 23 involved? A. I spend most of my time seeing patients and A. It involved sepsis and vertical banded performing surgery, in coloscopies. gastroplasty. It happened when I was in residency.

Page 54 Page 56 1 Q. You were a resident at St. Louis at the time? average for a year is what? 2 A. I'm probably sent maybe two cases a month to look A. Yes. 2 O. How about the other one? Was it recent? 3 3 at. A. That was -- no, that was not recent either. It 4 Q. And how many depositions do you, typically, do a 4 was a surgeon who did two colon resections of the same month? patient for different pathologies. I was a covering 6 A. Oh, maybe -- I think, maybe one, maybe one every 6 physician. other month or so. Q. Now, in those cases, you weren't the target at Q. Have you done a trial in the last -- well, all of the lawsuit, either one of them, were you? probably nobody's done a trial for a year, but before 10 A. I was named in them, so I guess I was the target. that, how many trials do you think you've appeared in, Q. Did they claim that you violated the standard of 11 on average, a year? 12 care? 12 A. Over the last 17 years, I've probably been 13 involved in six or seven trials maybe. That's a guess. A. I don't recall that particular -- I don't know. 13 14 Q. What are you charging for your deposition today? 14 Q. Are you listed on any expert services that you A. I block out four hours for all depositions. It's 15 15 know of? 16 a \$2,000 fee. 16 A. Not to my knowledge. I don't advertise. 17 Q. And does that include your prep time? 17 Q. That was my next question. The number of states -- or the names of the states that you testified 18 in -- so I'm going to guess Tennessee, Ohio and 19 Q. How much time do you think it took to prepare for 20 your deposition today? Michigan. Can you think of any others? 21 A. Oh, maybe four, maybe five hours. A. I've testified in a lot of states. I've probably 22 Q. And what's your hourly rate for that? testified in probably close to 35 different states or 23 A. \$500. 23 so. I can't tell you every single name of them, but, 24 Q. And how much time do you think you've put in this yes, around 35 states or so. 25 case so far? 25 Q. In Michigan, you're aware that -- at least for Page 57 Page 55 1 A. I don't know, but they're on the invoices, which purposes of standard of care testimony, you have to be we filed with counsel. 2 practicing a majority of your professional time in the 3 Q. Maybe we did. specialty to which the defendant that you're testifying about is practicing. You're aware of that, right? 4 MR. SCARBER: Just for the record, Dan and Ian, I don't see anything about his payment in here, any A. I am aware of that. invoices, or anything like that. Q. So the majority of your professional time, I'm 6 7 MR. CROSS: On the retainer letter, there's going to guess, is colorectal surgery? 8 a check and a statement about payment. There's a 8 A. Yep, about 70 to 80 percent. It's a fluctuation, 9 document that says, "Retainer Letter." It's in there. but 70 to 80 percent colorectal surgery, 20 to 10 MR. SCARBER: Okay. Thank you. Sorry about 30 percent general surgery, in that range. 11 that. Go ahead. Q. Do you know what specialty Dr. Papendick is? 12 MR. CORBET: No problem. 12 A. I'm assuming he's some kind of medicine doctor, 13 BY MR. CORBET: maybe family medicine, or internal medicine. I don't 14 Q. So the case that was from Ohio, I think -- on one know for sure though. 15 15 of the cases, they quoted you about the amount of your Q. And how about Dr. Krause, do you know what income that comes from the expert work that was 16 specialty he is? 16 17 somewhere around 25 percent. Do you remember that? 17 A. No. 18 A. Yep, that's accurate. 18 Q. I should say, do you know what specialty he 19 Q. So I was going to say, is that still accurate 19 practices? 20 today? 20 A. Same answer. 21 21 Q. Oh, you said you read the amended complaint in A. Yes. 22 Q. And the number of reviews you do annually, on 22 this case? average, is what? 23 A. Yes.

think?

Q. You read -- when did you first receive it do you

24

25

A. What was the question?

Q. The number of medical case reviews that you do on

Page 58 Page 60 A. I have no idea. 1 1 Q. So, perhaps, six per month --2 Q. I mean, it's not been in the last couple of weeks 2 A. Yes. 3 though, has it? 3 Q. -- approximately? A. Probably not. So that would be almost 70, about, per year? 4 5 Q. Did you have it when you wrote your report, your A. That sounds reasonable. preliminary report in -- sorry. You don't recall? Q. This year, has anyone who you performed a 6 colostomy takedown on died as a result of the procedure? 8 Q. You did say you saw the deposition notice for 9 yourself? 9 Q. Did anyone die last year? 10 A. Yes. 10 A. Not to my recollection. I don't think I've ever 11 Q. You brought all the records -- you've supplied us had someone die from a colostomy closure. 12 with all the records you've reviewed in relation to this 12 Q. How many colostomy closures do you think you've 13 case? performed over the course of your career? 14 A. Yes. 14 A. I don't know, but 17 years would be hundreds, Q. Including notes, sticky notes, highlighted notes, 15 15 obviously. dog-ear pages; is that right? Q. Are you aware of the risk of death associated 16 16 17 A. I don't have any of those. with general anesthesia? Q. Okay. Everything you have is probably on the 18 computer, I imagine. Q. What is the risk of death associated with general 19 20 A. What's that? anesthesia for an otherwise healthy middle-aged male? 21 Q. Everything that you reviewed is on the computer? A. It's far less than one percent. Of course, as 22 you alluded to, that number could rise if you have 23 Q. Did you review any hardcopies of anything? comorbidities, such as diabetes, or morbid obesity, or 24 something like that, but an otherwise healthy male with A. No. 25 Q. What a word when we dont review anything --25 no significant medical issues, less than one percent die Page 61 Page 59 hardcopies. Everything's on the computer, huh? from anesthesia. 2 Have you written any articles on this area? Q. And is that -- How do you know that? 3 A. Have I read any articles? A. Training and experience. 4 Q. No, written any. Q. Is that something most physicians would know? 5 A. No. A. Yes. MR. SCARBER: I'm going to place an Q. Did you write any notes in relation to this case? 6 objection to foundation. 7 7 8 8 MR. CORBET: Join. Q. I'm just about done. 9 Thank you, Doctor, for your time. I appreciate BY MR. CROSS: 10 it. Q. So I noticed in your report, you mentioned 11 A. No problem. fibrosis of the rectal stump. Can you explain what that 12 MR. CROSS: All right. Did you have 12 13 anything else, Devlin, or am I good to go? 13 MR. SCARBER: I'm going to place an 14 MR. SCARBER: I quess, if you're going to objection to relevancy. He's already testified that he 15 ask questions, Ian, I'll go after you. didn't have it, but go ahead. MR. CORBET: Join. 16 MR. CROSS: Okay. 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. CROSS: 17 18 BY MR. CROSS: 18 O. Go ahead. 19 Q. So, Dr. Silverman, you testified, the last time A. Basically, when you have abdominal surgery, and 20 you performed a colostomy takedown was last week? you disconnect the rectum, you can have fibrosis and 21 A. Yes. scarring in the abdominal cavity, as well as the pelvis 21 22 Q. About how many colostomy takedowns do you perform 22 and the rectum. in a typical month? 23 Q. What is fibrosis? 24 A. Well, like I said, it's usually one or maybe two A. Basically scarring, or tissues turning into 25 a week. thicker -- tissues that are much more difficult to work

```
Page 62
   with --
1
                                                               1 reversal. He didn't try to get a reversal at the end of
2
                                                               2 his incarceration. He only tried the first couple of
       Q. I'm sorry, I cut you off. What did you say?
 3
       A. -- and don't function as well.
                                                               3 months he was there. Go ahead.
       Q. So does scarring, abdominal scarring, make a
                                                                               THE WITNESS: I saw no change in that
 4
                                                                  condition.
 5
     reversal surgery more difficult in your --
       A. It has the potential -- abdominal scarring has
                                                               6 BY MR. CROSS:
 6
 7
     the potential for making surgery more difficult, yes.
                                                                      Q. Are you aware of any medical reason for delaying
       Q. What are some other factors that might make a
                                                                  the reversal surgery by two-and-a-half years?
9
     colostomy takedown more difficult?
                                                                      A. No, there was absolutely no reason to delay
10
       A. Other factors would be -- for example, someone
                                                                   Mr. Jackson's colostomy reversal. I would also say,
11 that's morbidly obese, somebody that has had multiple
                                                                   that Dr. Papendick's testimony --
12 abdominal surgeries in the past, prior to the actual
                                                              12
                                                                               MR. SCARBER: I'm going to place an
13 formation of the colostomy, people that are
                                                                   objection, outside the scope of your question at this
14 immunocompromised. I mean, I can go on and on, but all
                                                                   point. It's nonresponsive. You asked him a question.
15 of these things can make colostomy takedown more
                                                                   He's answered it.
16 difficult.
                                                              16
                                                                               MR. CORBET: And this is Corbet, form,
17
       Q. Okay. So would you agree, that the risks of a
                                                              17
                                                                   foundation. Sorry, go ahead.
   colostomy takedown vary from patient to patient?
                                                                   BY MR. CROSS:
18
19
       A. Of course.
                                                                      Q. What was your impression of Dr. Papendick's
20
       Q. You had an opportunity to review some of
                                                              20
                                                                   testimony?
21 Mr. Jackson's medical records from after he was released
                                                              21
                                                                               MR. SCARBER: I'm going to place an
22
    from prison, that's correct?
                                                                   objection. That's outside the scope of this witness's
23
     A. Yes.
                                                                   role. He can't have an impression of a witness's
24
       Q. Did you notice any difference in his medical
                                                                   testimony. It's the jury's job. And the other thing is
25 condition between the time he was released and the time
                                                              25 foundation, and to form.
                                                   Page 63
                                                                                                                  Page 65
1 that he was in prison, that would make a colostomy
                                                                               MR. CORBET: Join.
     reversal, say, more urgent after he was released?
                                                                 BY MR. CROSS:
3
                MR. SCARBER: Let me place an objection,
                                                                      Q. Doctor, do you remember being asked some
 4 Ian, because I'm going to place an objection to
                                                                  questions by Mr. Scarber about your review of
    foundation, No. 1, because he's testified that all he
                                                                   Dr. Papendick's testimony?
                                                                      A. Yes.
     saw was the operative report. I asked him about DMC
 6
7
    records. He didn't say anything about DMC records.
                                                                      Q. Okay. What were your impressions of
    He's also testified that he has no evidence suggesting
                                                                   Dr. Papendick's testimony?
8
                                                               8
    that Mr. Jackson's colostomy reversal was difficult or
                                                                               MR. SCARBER: Same objection. I asked
10
    that he had any issues with it.
                                                                   specific questions about --
11
                So I'm going to place an objection to
                                                                               MR. MARGOLIS: You've heard the objection,
12 foundation, as well as relevance at this point, and
                                                                   Devlin. You can put it on the record. You can let him
13 mischaracterizes the witness's testimony.
                                                              13
                                                                   answer the question.
14
    BY MR. CROSS:
                                                              14
                                                                               MR. SCARBER: Foundation.
                                                              15
15
     Q. You may answer.
                                                                               Listen, I've got one attorney there, Larry.
16
       A. So I saw no difference in the medical condition.
                                                                   I mean, I know I got Dan on my team, but still. I mean,
17
       Q. Did you see any changes in his medical condition
                                                              17
                                                                   we still represent different people.
18 over the course of his incarceration that would make it
                                                              18
                                                                               MR. CORBET: Form and foundation.
19
    more necessary to perform a reversal at the end of the
                                                              19
                                                                   Objection. This is Dan.
20
    incarceration than at the beginning?
                                                              20
                                                                               MR. SCARBER: Thanks, Dan.
21
                MR. SCARBER: I'm going to place another
                                                              21
                                                                  BY MR. CROSS:
22
   objection, to foundation and relevance.
                                                              22
                                                                      O. Go ahead.
23
                MR. CORBET: Join.
                                                                      A. I need the question repeated.
                MR. SCARBER: Primarily, because we're only
                                                                                     (Wherein, question is read back
                                                              25
25 talking about one instance where he tried to have a
                                                                                     upon request.)
```

62 to 65

```
Page 66
                                                                                                                 Page 68
1
                MR. SCARBER: Same objection.
                                                                     Q. What do you mean when you say a patient has no
2
                THE WITNESS: I saw that Dr. Papendick was,
                                                                  other complaints?
                                                              2
3 basically, wrong in regards to describing the reasons
                                                               3
                                                                              MR. SCARBER: Foundation, relevance.
4 for why Mr. Jackson couldn't have his colostomy
                                                                              MR. CORBET: And form.
5 reversed. I felt there was -- after reading
                                                                              THE WITNESS: Typically, when I say, "no
6 Dr. Papendick's deposition, I saw no evidence in his
                                                               6 other complaints," me, personally, I will say -- like,
    deposition that he presented, that would cause him
                                                                  for example, "no other complaints," I'm referring to,
8 reason to not, in fact, let Mr. Jackson have his
                                                                 like, no chest pain, or no shortness of breath, or no
    colostomy reversed, that was any different than when
                                                                  leg pain, or claudication. Typically, as a surgeon, I'm
10 Mr. Jackson left jail/prison. I don't remember the
                                                                  writing that, "no other complaints," because I'm giving
11 difference between the two.
                                                              11 a reason why the patient could, in fact, have surgery.
                MR. SCARBER: Foundation.
12
                                                              12 BY MR. CROSS:
13 BY MR. CROSS:
                                                              13
                                                                     Q. I see. So "no other complaints" sort of means,
14
       Q. Do you remember Mr. Scarber asking you some
                                                                 no other symptoms that the patient has communicated to
15 questions about whether there is a mandate requiring
                                                             15
                                                                  you?
16 colostomies to be reversed?
                                                              16
                                                                              MR. SCARBER: Foundation.
17
       A. Yes, I do recall that line of questioning.
                                                              17
                                                                              THE WITNESS: Correct.
       Q. What is your understanding of what a mandate is
                                                                              MR. SCARBER: Relevance. His personal
19 in the context of those questions?
                                                                  practice is --
20
       A. I took that to mean that there's something, in
                                                              20
                                                                              THE REPORTER: I'm sorry?
21 some chapter, that meant that every single colostomy
                                                              21
                                                                              MR. SCARBER: I said, his answer's outside
22 must be reversed no matter what. That's how I
                                                              22
                                                                  the scope of the question, but go ahead.
23 interpreted "a mandate."
                                                              23
                                                                  BY MR. CROSS:
24
       Q. What do you mean by a chapter? A chapter of
                                                                     Q. If you had a stoma, would you seek a reversal
25 what?
                                                              25 surgery?
                                                   Page 67
                                                                                                                 Page 69
1
       A. Like in some medical textbook, or some resource
                                                                              MR. SCARBER: I'm just going to place an
2 like that.
                                                                 objection.
3
       Q. If a patient doesn't want to undergo a surgical
                                                                              THE WITNESS: Are you talking about
4 procedure, regardless of what that surgical procedure
                                                               4 personally?
5 is, is there ever a time when they have to undergo the
                                                                BY MR. CROSS:
                                                                     Q. Yes.
    surgical procedure?
6
7
      A. If they're of sound mind, and can make their own
                                                               7
                                                                              MR. SCARBER: Let me make my objection.
8 decisions, there's never a time where they have to do
                                                               8
                                                                  Object to foundation and relevance. Go ahead.
9
                                                                              MR. CORBET: And form.
10
                                                              10
                                                                              THE WITNESS: I, personally, would like my
       Q. Do you write -- you were asked about some notes
11 that Dr. Kansakar or Dr. Weber made following their
                                                                  stoma reversed. In my experience, I will tell you that,
12 visits with the plaintiff in this case. Do you remember
                                                                  the overwhelming majority, I'd venture to say,
13 that?
                                                                  99 percent of people who have had stomas would like them
14
       A. Yes.
                                                                  reversed.
                                                              15 BY MR. CROSS:
15
       Q. Do you typically write notes when you see a
16 patient in their medical chart?
                                                              16
                                                                     Q. Why would -- or let me ask it this way. Why do
17
       A. Yes.
                                                              17
                                                                  the overwhelming majority of your patients want to have
18
       Q. And when you write, "No other complaints," what
                                                             18
                                                                  their stoma reversed?
                                                              19
                                                                              MR. SCARBER: Foundation. Go ahead.
19 does that mean exactly?
20
                MR. SCARBER: I'm just going to place an
                                                              20
                                                                              MR. CORBET: Form and foundation, and
21 objection to foundation.
                                                              21 relevance.
22 BY MR. CROSS:
                                                              22
                                                                              THE WITNESS: Because people want their
23
       Q. I'm sorry, do you ever write, "No other
                                                                  stomas reversed because they can be difficult to manage,
24 complaints," in your notes?
                                                              24 they require a lot of upkeep, they require having a
25
       A. Yes.
                                                                  constant supply of -- supplies to change the stoma.
```

66 to 69

July 23, 2021 Page 70 Page 72 1 They can leak, they can be embarrassing, they can smell, Q. Because if you're having some kind of emotional 2 and cause social anxiety and psychological issues; and problem, or psychological problem, or a problem coping; 3 we have teams of people, clinics that we send patients, 3 if you're really having an issue, you want to get help 4 just for these particular issues. with that, as a patient? BY MR. CROSS: 5 A. Some people want to, some people don't. I send 6 Q. So would you agree that having a colostomy -- patients a lot of times will want to go to these 7 involves suffering? places initially for help with the actual pouch, we call 8 MR. SCARBER: I'm just going to place an pouching, but then they provide another service on top 9 objection to form and foundation. of that. Whenever we have a colostomy --10 MR. CORBET: Join. 10 Q. Doctor, my question is very simple. THE WITNESS: That question is based on my 11 11 A. I find that rude that you would cut off my 12 experience over the last 17 years, dealing with patients 12 answer. 13 with colostomies; that, in fact, there is a significant 13 Q. Well, welcome to --14 amount of suffering that goes with them, and social 14 A. I'm just trying to elaborate --15 disability. 15 Q. I didn't ask you to elaborate. I asked you a 16 BY MR. CROSS: 16 question; and when I ask questions, I try to get an 17 Q. And you testified that you refer patients with answer to the question. I don't want to be rude, but you started going off on something else that I didn't colostomies to special treatment providers to deal specifically with those social and personal issues 19 ask you. 20 associated with having a bag? 20 My question is -- you may have answered it. 21 A. Yes. So people we send them to not only help 21 That's why I stopped you, because --22 them with the appliances, and what is the most secure A. Go ahead. 23 appliance, and things that they can live with, and they 23 Q. -- I lose your answer when you keep going on and also help them socially, and what things you can and 24 on. 25 can't do, and things like that. 25 My question is, people that you typically refer Page 73 Page 71 1 Q. Okay. 2 MR. CORBET: Form and foundation by the way, the stoma, who --3 sorry. A. You're mischaracterizing it again. So I will 4 MR. CROSS: I don't think I have any further 4 answer this question when you characterize it the right questions. I may have some follow-up if there is 5 way. 6 6 recross. Q. The people that you refer out to get assistance

7 REDIRECT-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. SCARBER: 9 Q. Doctor, are you aware that Mr. Jackson was 10 actually offered some kind of social counseling, and 11 counseling to help him cope with having the colostomy, and he did not want it when he was in the Michigan 13 Department of Corrections? 14 A. I do recall reading something along those lines. 15

16 psychological programs or coping programs, these people 17 that you are referring, these are people that want to 18 get the help, so that they can learn how to cope with 19 this stuff, right, with having this colostomy? 20 A. You mischaracterized when I said, "these people." 21 There are stoma clinics. They help them with the stomas 22 and the pouches and the supplies, but they also help them psychologically and socially with the stomas; and

Q. And the patients that you refer to these

the answer is, yes, the overwhelming majority would want 25 to go to those particular programs.

to these particular coping programs, who help them with

with their stoma, and who, on top of that service, also

8 help them with dealing with the stoma, the patients you

send to these places, these are patients that want to get that kind of assistance, correct?

A. I was trying to answer that question.

12 O. Yes or no?

13 A. No.

14

15

17

18

21

Q. They don't want that assistance?

A. I will elaborate if you want me to.

Q. Yes or no, do the people you send these people -you send your patients to, who provide this --

A. I send all of my colostomy patients, ileostomy patients to these people for helping with the pouching system. All of them go. On top of that, they will offer some psychosocial things on top of that. Most of the people, overwhelming majority of the people, want to go when I offer that assistance.

24 Q. Thank you. 25 Another question --

Page 74 A. That's the elaboration that you wouldn't let me

2 do.

1

- 3 Q. Another question for you. In the Gysegem
- opinion, the court also indicated --
- MR. CROSS: Objection. This is outside of
- 6 cross.
- 7 BY MR. SCARBER:
- Q. -- quote, "Dr. Silverman lacks the credentials of
- opposing experts. Dr. Silverman does not currently
- 10 teach any surgery or any general surgery residents, and
- 11 Dr. Silverman has never taught fellows in any
- 12 specialty." This is actually in response to
- 13 Mr. Corbet's question.
- Is that true, Doctor, that you have never -- you 14
- 15 don't currently teach any general surgery residents, and
- 16 have never taught any fellows?
- 17 A. That's absolutely true. The only people I've
- taught, which I've testified truthfully to, is medical
- students. Absolutely true.
- 20 Q. Do you treat any individuals in the Department of
- 21 Corrections?
- A. No. 22
- 23 Q. No? I didn't hear you, I'm sorry.
- 24 A. Oh, I said no, and I'm thinking about it, but
- 25 I've never had a prisoner -- I may have had one or two

- a patient who has a surgery, gets to go home for three
- 2 weeks and recover, and go on with his life, right?
- 3 Correct?
 - A. I don't know. I have no idea.
 - Q. Someone who you perform a surgery on is going to
- get to go home, be taken care of by their family maybe,
- and --
- 8 A. So the perception I have would be maybe that --
- and, again, I don't know. Maybe you have surgery, and
- you recover for a few days in the hospital, maybe go --
- I don't know where you go after that.
- 12 Q. Okay. But you would agree that their perception
- would be that it's going to be a little bit different
- for a prisoner who undergoes a surgery and has to return
- 15 to prison versus the average patient you would have, or
- a routine patient that you would have, that you would
- perform the surgery and they would just go home?
- A. I would not expect a prisoner to go home after
- surgery, that is correct.
- Q. You would expect that prisoner to go back to --
- probably what would be a more populated area, and a more
- 22 dangerous area, right, after having a surgery?
- 23 A. I have no idea where they go. I don't know if
- they go to a step-down, they go to a hospital infirm. I
 - don't know. I have no idea.

Page 75

- 1 consults in the hospital over the last 17 years of a
- 2 prisoner, but I have no independent recollection of it.
- 3 Q. In any event then, Doctor, it's not something
- 4 that -- that's not a particular practice that you have a
- whole lot of experience, or maybe any experience doing,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Right.
- 8 Q. Are you aware of the circumstances that prisoners
- 9 have to undergo when they're in the prison system, in
- terms of being exposed to a greater degree of infection,
- 11 being exposed to a greater degree of injury, things like
- 12
- 13 A. If the question is, Do I have objective knowledge
- 14 of that, I don't. I have perceptions, but not objective
- 15 knowledge.
- 16 Q. What is your perception of that? Do you perceive
- 17
- 18 A. I perceive that prisons can be potentially
- 19 dangerous places. That's all --
- 20 Q. So my question --
- 21 A. But it's a perception. It's not based in
- 22 reality. I've never been in prison. I don't have an
- inner understanding of prisons and jails, and things
- 24 like that.
- 25 Q. And prisons can be more dangerous places than for

- Q. Okay. So you really -- I guess what you're
- saying, you really have no idea --
- A. I think I've answered that pretty clearly. I
- have no idea.
- Q. You have no idea what's happening in these
- prisons, correct?
- 7 A. I have never been there. I don't know what's
- 8 happening in prisons.
- Q. Okay. You indicated something about, if
- Dr. Papendick determined -- and you might have
- indirectly said this, but I just want to be clear. I
- think you indicated that, if Dr. Papendick had made some
- kind of different decision, that the patient would have
- gotten a reversal surgery. Did you suggest that, or did
- 15 I hear that wrong?
- A. I don't recall. I don't recall that line of 16
- 17 questioning.
- 18 Q. Are you aware, Dr. Silverman, that the MDOC
- 19 itself, the Illinois Department of Corrections, has
- their own policies about which particular types of
- procedures they will approve, and the procedures for how
- 22 those particular surgeries get approved, and they go
 - beyond Dr. Papendick?
- A. I am not holding myself out to understand the
 - inner workings of the Department of Corrections, and

Page 77

3

6

9

11

21

Page 78 their policies, and the things you just mentioned.

- 2 Q. So nothing you were testifying to was implying
- 3 something about there being some type of policies on
- 4 behalf of the healthcare company that has to work within
- 5 the prison, or the Michigan Department of Corrections'
- 6 policies themselves, correct?
- A. I have not given any opinions on the Michigan
- 8 Department of Correction policies.
- 9 Q. Okay. And no written policies, or anything like
- 10 that on behalf of the healthcare providers who provide
- 11 any kind of care within the prison, correct?
- 12 A. I have not opined on any policies.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- 14 I just want to -- you're not aware of Mr. Jackson
- 15 having any issues with constipation, polyps, bleeding,
- open sores, bloody sores, stoma issues, while he was in
- 17 the Michigan Department of Corrections or the jail, are
- 18 you?
- 19 A. No, just the bleeding from the assault, nothing
- 20 else on that list.
- 21 Q. And this bleeding that we're talking about from
- 22 the assault, you're aware that he was sent to the
- 23 emergency room by the healthcare providers in the prison
- 24 the same day, and he had no issues following that with
- 25 respect to any injuries or damages to his colostomy
 - Page 79

- 1 area?
- 2 A. I'm aware of that.
- 3 Q. You would also agree with me that he had no
- 4 profuse bleeding from his stoma?
- 5 A. Right.
- 6 Q. You would agree with me that he had nothing
- 7 saying that his colostomy bag was always, or was ever
- 8 full of any blood that you saw from a medical record,
- 9 right?
- 10 A. I don't recall.
- 11 Q. You would agree that he didn't develop any
- 12 complications at his stoma site, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. I looked through the document that Mr. Cross
- 15 referenced, called the "Retainer Letter," and I did not
- 16 see anything in this letter, other than a letter dated
- 17 November 20th, 2020, when you were originally sent the
- 18 case, it sounds like, and a check for \$2,500.
- Do you have any subsequent billing in this case, or invoices in this case with respect to your review or
- 21 anything like that? I know we paid you to be here.
- 22 A. I don't think so.
- 23 Q. Okay. You haven't -- How much are you charging
- 24 for the preparation time that you have put in thus far?
- 25 A. We talked about that. It was about five or six

- 1 hours.
- 2 Q. And that's what you think your rate is going to
 - end up being?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Nothing further. Thank you, Doctor.
 - MR. CORBET: Can you hear me, Doctor? I

Page 80

- have some follow-up. This is Dan Corbet.
 - THE WITNESS: Yes, Dan.
 - RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. CORBET:
 - Q. Would you agree that there is no consensus
- 12 regarding the timing of reversal of Hartmann's
 - procedure, as of 2015 anyways?
- 14 A. I would think that the consensus, if there's no
- 15 contraindications, depending on the disease process,
- 16 would be to reverse in around two months.
- 17 Q. You would agree -- so you disagree with that
 - 8 statement that I just made, correct?
- 19 A. Correct. And I would also elaborate to say, that
- 20 it's safest to wait at least two months to reverse them.
 - Q. Okay. Many studies reported a median time to the
- 22 reversal procedure of nine months; is that right?
- 23 A. Are you talking about averages, or are you
- 24 talking about when it's safest to?
- 25 Q. A median time. So it's neither. It's median.
 - Page 81 Median means halfway point, right?
 - A. Yeah. So if you're asking, When does the average
- 3 stoma get -- a median stoma -- I guess that's what
- 4 you're quoting there. I don't know that.
 - Q. This patient --
- 6 A. I would also go on to say, Counselor, that all of
- 7 the different reasons for why colostomies may be formed
- 8 are inside of that data, not just one particular disease
- 9 process.

12

13

- 10 Q. I'm sorry, did I ask you that question? Can you
- 11 hear me, Doctor?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did I ask you that question?
- 14 A. You're asking me about data, so I have to be able
- 5 to interpret the data that you're quoting from. So I
- 16 have to make sense of the data that I have not had a
- 17 chance to read, that you get to read off of that.
- and the second s
- Q. So my question was, do you agree with that statement, and then you elaborated on something that I
- 20 didn't ask, and now I understand Mr. Scarber's
- 21 objections to you --
- 22 A. Counselor --
 - Q. -- elaborate.
 - A. Because I haven't seen the document.
- Q. Okay. So that's why I'm not asking you about a

Page 82

1 particular document. I'm just asking you if you agree

- 2 that many studies -- First of all, this patient had a
- 3 Hartmann procedure, correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And so, as a result of the Hartmann procedure,
- 6 part of that is, a colostomy was formed, correct?
- A. That's the definition of a Hartmann.
- 8 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 9 So you disagree with the statement that there is
- 10 no consensus regarding the timing or reversal of
- 11 Hartmann's procedure, correct? You disagree that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you didn't come here today with any
- 14 literature to support your opinion, did you?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Even though I think the deposition notice asked
- 17 you to bring whatever documents you have. You know
- 18 what, I don't want to -- let me see what it actually
- 19 says for sure.
- 20 I'll leave that one alone. I'm reading the dep
- 21 notice right now. I'm not sure it's that specific, so
- 22 let me go back to my question; and my question is, you
- 23 would disagree that many studies reported a median time
- 24 to the reversal procedure of nine months. Do you agree
- 25 with that or you don't agree with that?
- Page 83
- 1 A. I can't comment on that without reading what
- 2 you're reading.
- 3 Q. Do you agree that delayed reversal has been
- 4 advocated in several studies?
- 5 A. No context. I can't comment on that.
- 6 Q. So I'm talking about the reversal -- it's talking
- 7 about reversal of a colostomy in somebody who's had a
- 8 Hartmann procedure. You can't comment on whether or not
- 9 there is some -- several studies have advocated delayed
- 10 reversal. You don't know that one way or another,
- 10 leversar. Tou don't know that one way or another,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. I would need context; and, specifically, what are
- 13 the reasons the colostomy was made, and what
- 14 comorbidities we're looking at. There's a lot of
- 15 context that you're not giving me.
- Q. How about if I added, the reasons included less
- 17 dense adhesions, and more time to optimize the clinical
- 18 and nutritional status of the patient? Does that give
- 19 you enough context to answer, that delayed reversal has
- 20 been advocated in several studies?
- 21 A. There's no context in there at all, actually,
- 22 Counselor.
- 23 Q. Okay. So you can't say you are aware or you're
- 24 not aware that delayed reversal has been advocated in
- 25 several studies regarding the Hartmann procedure?

- A. That is correct. I cannot say that.
- Q. But you can't say you haven't seen studies like

Page 84

Page 85

82 to 85

3 that?

2

- 4 A. I'm not seeing the studies that you're
- particularly talking about, nor the disease processes
- 6 for why the Hartmann's procedures were performed in the
- first place; and by the way, the variables that you're
- 8 talking about, that you're asking me to opine about; for
- 9 example, nutritional status, intraabdominal scarring,
- 10 has nothing to do with the case that we're talking
- 11 about.
- 12 Q. And it says, "In our patients, time until
- $13\,$ $\,$ reversal was shorter for diverticulitis compared with
- 14 cancer, 6 months versus 12 months."
- 15 You can't agree with that, can you?
- 16 A. I can agree with that, because you've given me
- 17 context as what the disease process [sic]. Surely
- 18 reversals for Hartmann's, secondary to diverticulitis,
- 19 is far less than those that have rectal or colon cancer,
- 20 who, more likely than not, are receiving chemotherapy or
- 21 radiation because they had a Hartmann's in the first
- 22 place, which meant it was either obstructing, or
- 23 something along those lines, which is advanced cancer.
- Q. Okay. But this says 6 months versus 12 months.
- 5 You disagree with that, correct?

83

- A. Six months for diverticulitis?
- Q. And 12 months for cancer.
- A. All I'm going to agree to is that, you would wait
- longer for colon cancer or rectal cancer, then you would
- have to individualize the patient for each of those
- 6 disease processes. Again, the overwhelming majority of
- 7 colostomies are reversed within two or three months of
- 8 formation for diverticulitis.
- o longeron for any creation.
- 9 Q. So if it's a patient who's got diverticulitis,
- 10 the reason advocated in several studies for waiting
- 11 longer -- I guess it doesn't say what delayed reversal
- is, but delayed reversal in diverticulitis patients has been advocated in several studies. One of the reasons
- 14 is less dense adhesions. Do you understand that
- 15 context?

- A. Yes.
- 17 Q. So if there is an article out there that says
- 18 that, you would disagree with it, correct?
- 19 A. I don't understand what exactly you're reading,
- 20 Counselor. I will tell you that the overwhelming
- 21 majority of patients with Hartmann procedures from
- 22 diverticulitis can be safely reversed around eight
- 23 weeks.
- Q. Why would somebody write that it would be -- a
- 25 median time to the reversal procedure would be nine

Page 86 Page 88 1 months? A. I usually do one or two maybe a month. It hasn't 2 MR. CROSS: Objection, calls for changed in the last three years. 3 speculation. 3 Q. So you're not aware of any -- you're not aware of MR. CORBET: I heard a lot of speculative any studies out there that would suggest it would be 4 5 answers today. better to wait more than three months to reverse a THE WITNESS: And I would need to look at 6 6 Hartmann's procedure in a patient who had 7 the studies that you're referring to; and it looks like diverticulitis? what you are citing is a meta-analysis, which means it's A. Correct. a paper that's looking at multiple studies. In order to O. Would that be a breach to the standard of care if 10 answer the question appropriately, you would want to see a surgeon was to wait more than three months to reverse 11 what each study is actually saying. a Hartmann's procedure in a patient who had 12 BY MR. CORBET: 12 diverticulitis? 13 13 A. It depends on the patient's individual factors Q. Did you bring any studies with you today? and whatnot. I can't answer that. Lots of -- I can 14 A. No, and you're not supplying me with any either, 15 just asking me to comment on them without looking at tell you that lots of patients with diverticulitis, who 16 them. have had Hartmann's procedures for one reason or 17 Q. And you are retained by the plaintiff to be an 17 another, do not need to wait longer than three months. 18 expert in this case, correct? 18 Q. Why is that? A. Yeah, we've already covered that, Counselor. A. Maybe it's individual factors, maybe they're 19 19 20 Q. I'm just putting it in context at this point in immunocompromised, maybe they're morbidly obese and 21 time. asked to lose weight, maybe they have uncontrolled 22 So you would want to do the reversal, if you're 22 diabetes, and diabetes should be better controlled 23 going to do it, if there were less dense adhesions, 23 before they actually undergo the colostomy reversal. 24 There's a host of reasons why you could wait. correct? 25 25 A. You would want -- based on the patient, you want Q. Thank you. I'm done. I appreciate your time, Page 89 Page 87 1 to wait until they're recovered from their colostomy Doctor. 2 2 procedure, and you want to wait until the intraabdominal MR. CROSS: I just have some brief cross. inflammation, which is probably the adhesion, is at its RECROSS-EXAMINATION 4 lowest, and that's usually at least around eight weeks 4 BY MR. CROSS: or so, which is when Dr. Kansakar was going to reverse Q. You testified that, by the time -- the Mr. Jackson in the first place, at least temporarily. appropriate amount of time to wait before reversing a 6 7 Tentatively scheduled I should say. stoma is dependent on the reasons that the stoma was 8 Q. Did I ask that question? 8 placed, correct? 9 A. That's part of my answer. A. Yes, that is one of many reasons, one of many 10 Q. Move to strike, beyond the scope of the question. 10 things you would look at. 11 Did I hear that one of the courts found that you 11 Q. What other things would you look at? 12 were biassed and not credible? Do you remember that 12 A. I don't understand the question. 13 part of your deposition, Doctor? 13 Q. Okay. You said that the reason that you placed 14 A. Yep. the stoma is one thing that you would look at in 15 Q. Did you ever hear that from the attorney that determining the appropriate amount of time to wait 16 retained you in the case? before performing a reversal surgery? 16 17 17 A. Correct. A. No. 18 Q. Today is the first day that you've ever heard 18 Q. What are some other factors that you would look 19 anybody ask you about that decision? 19 at besides that one? 20 A. Correct. A. You would look at their overall health, their 21 Q. Do you know when you testified in that case, how 21 comorbidities; for example, diabetes, hypertension, 22 many years ago it was? asthma, emphysema, COPD, those kinds of things. You 23 A. I believe counselor mentioned around 2018. would want to make sure their medical issues were Q. How many depositions have you done in the last well-managed and controlled. You would want to make

sure that they are of appropriate weight so you can

86 to 89

25

three years do you think?

```
Page 90
                                                                                                                   Page 92
1 decrease your incidence of wound infections and hernias.
                                                                       Q. For you to have written the report, assuming that
2 You would want to make sure that they have had proper
                                                                   you wrote the report, right, that means that you would
3 nutritional support, if, in fact, they require that at
                                                                   have had to have the records in front of you, that you
4 all. Usually, that's for our very sick patients at the
                                                                   would have needed in order to be able to say the things
    extremes of age. So those are some of the things that
                                                                   you said in this report?
    you would look at before making a decision to reverse a
                                                                      A. I'm assuming so.
 6
    colostomy, or ileostomy, or a stoma, if you will.
                                                                      Q. I got nothing further.
       Q. And in looking at Mr. Jackson's records that you
                                                                               MR. SCARBER: E-tran.
9
    reviewed in this case, did you see any medical reason to
                                                                9
                                                                               THE REPORTER: Copies?
10
    delay the reversal?
                                                               10
                                                                               MR. CROSS: Yes.
11
                                                               11
                MR. SCARBER: I just want to place an
                                                                               MR. CORBET: I would like a copy, please
12
    objection, asked and answered, but go ahead, Doctor.
                                                                   e-tran, four per page.
13
                MR. CORBET: And form and foundation.
                                                               13
                                                                                      (FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT.)
14
                THE WITNESS: I see no evidence in the chart
                                                              14
15
  why there was a contraindication for reversing
                                                               15
    Mr. Jackson's colostomy.
                                                               16
16
17
                MR. CROSS: Okay. I don't have any further
                                                               17
18
    questions. Thank you.
19
                MR. SCARBER: Nothing from me. Thanks a
                                                               19
20
    lot, Doctor.
                                                               20
21
                MR. CORBET: Just a little bit of follow-up
                                                               21
                                                               22
    on that.
23
                      RECROSS-EXAMINATION
                                                               23
                                                              24
24
   BY MR. CORBET:
25
       Q. I see that we were sent some of the DMC records.
                                                                                                                  Page 93
                                                    Page 91
                                                                   STATE OF ILLINOIS
   Were you sent those DMC records too?
                                                                                          ) SS:
2
       A. I don't recall.
                                                                   COUNTY OF DUPAGE
3
       Q. The only thing I think you said you saw from the
4
   DMC records was the operative report; is that true?
                                                                            I, ROBIN HEJNAR, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
5
       A. I did say that.
                                                                   and Registered Professional Reporter do hereby certify:
       Q. Did you see that today for the first time, or
 6
                                                                            That prior to being examined, the witness in
                                                                6
7
    have you seen that in the past?
                                                                   the foregoing proceeding was by me duly sworn to testify
       A. I think I've seen it in the past.
8
                                                                   to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
9
       Q. And what set of records? Because we're looking
                                                                   truth;
10
    at your records that were emailed to us.
                                                               10
                                                                            That said proceedings were taken remotely
11
       A. I don't recall.
                                                                   before me at the time and places therein set forth and
12
       Q. Is it possible, you saw it today for the first
                                                                   were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter
                                                                   transcribed into typewriting under my direction and
                                                               13
13
   time when Mr. Scarber showed it to you?
       A. I think it's possible, but I think I saw it
                                                              15
                                                                            I further certify that I am neither counsel
15 before. I answered truthfully.
                                                                   for, nor related to, any party to said proceedings, not
       Q. What set of records do you think you saw it in?
16
                                                                   in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
17
       A. Asked and answered. I don't recall.
                                                               18
                                                                            In witness whereof, I have hereunto subscribed
18
       Q. Okay. I'm sorry, Doctor. Thank you very much
                                                              19
                                                                   my name.
19 for your time.
                                                               20
                                                                   Dated: August 6, 2021
20
                      REDIRECT-EXAMINATION
                                                              21
21 BY MR. SCARBER:
                                                                    Poli Heyman
22
       Q. Let me ask you a question, Doctor. Did you write
    the report, or did Mr. Cross, or someone in plaintiff's
                                                                   ROBIN HEJNAR, RPR
24
    office write it for you?
                                                                   CSR No. 084-004689
25
       A. I wrote the entire report and signed it.
```

90 to 93

		57:10	
Exhibits	2	334243	A
		36:2	
EX A Ralph Si	20	35	abdominal
lverman, M.D.	37:9 57:9	56:22,24	26:4 61:19,
072321	2003-ish		21 62:4,6,12
3:17 12:1	43:23	5	able
EX B Ralph Si	2004		21:6 81:14
lverman, M.D.	6:10 14:6	57	92:4
072321	2012	36:2	above
3:18 12:11	51:9	574	50:6 51:2,3
	2012-ish	28:4	absolutely
\$	49:20	575	24:7 29:17
	2015	33:22	38:6,8 39:15
\$2,000	80:13	579	41:17 64:9
54:16	2016	28:4	74:17,19
\$2,500	23:20 29:21		academic
79:18	2017		49:13,14
\$500	20:20 21:1	6	accident
54:23	23:12,14,22,	6	33:3
01.20	23 24:4,9,12	-	accurate
	29:22 31:3	84:14,24	55:18,19
1	2017/march		accurately
•	20:20	7	19:7
1 63:5	2018		acknowledge
	35:7 36:1	70	17:15
10 52:14	87:23	57:8,9 60:4	action
	2018-00113JD		44:3
100	36:12	8	actual
6:10 29:17	2019		8:8 12:14
12	26:23 28:3	80	13:6 23:10,
84:14,24	30:23 32:19	57:8 , 9	17 62:12
85:2	33:1 40:8	85	72:7
15	2020	6:10	added
52:14	8:13,15	8th	83:16
152	11:18 36:11,	36:11	address
36:13	12 40:7		6:17
17	46:14 79:17		adhesion
22:9 56:12	20th	9	87:3
60:14 70:12	79:17	95	adhesions
75:1	25	37:11	83:17 85:14
1998	37:9 55:17	99	86:23
6:13 14:5	37.3 33.17	69:13	admits
19th			38:2
28:3	3	9th 35:7	
		33.7	advanced
	30		84:23

adverse	alluded	25 24:1 70:2	approximately
31:2	60:22	anybody	60:3
advertise	amended	10:6 44:6,9,	April
56:16	7:9,24 57:21	13 87:19	20:20 21:1
advocated	American	anyone	23:12,22
83:4,9,20,24	44:11,12	60:6,9	24:4,9,11
85:10,13	amount	apologize	area
affects	55:15 70:14	51:10	8:21 37:5
39:3	89:6,15	Appeals	38:12 59:2
affiliated	anesthesia	35:7	76:21,22
6:24	40:1,16	appeared	79:1
afterwards	60:17,20	56:10	armed
43:1	61:1	appearing	48:17,25
age	annually	5:21	around
90:5	55:22	appendectomie	11:18 43:24,
ago	answer	s	25 55:17
87:22	10:7 12:17,	38:1,14	56:24 80:16
agree	22 13:2,18	appliance	85:22 87:4,
8:24 9:9,13	15:23,25	70:23	23
14:24 15:1,	16:1,3,8,9	appliances	arrive
15 16:20	19:7 21:13,	70:22	9:14 13:8
17:12 26:3	19,22 22:2,	apply	art
62:17 70:6	4,7,12,15	50:14,15	13:7
76:12 79:3,	26:15 31:23,	51:3	article
6,11 80:11,	24 40:14	appointed	85:17
17 81:18	52:3 57:20	49:21	articles
82:1,24,25	63:15 65:13 71:24 72:12,	appointment	17:5,14,16
83:3 84:15,	17,23 73:4,	47:15 49:13,	59:2,3
16 85:3	11 83:19	14,20	asked
ahead	86:10 87:9	appointment/	40:4 46:7
21:17,20	88:14	medical	63:6 64:14
22:3 55:11	answer's	47:24	65:3,9 67:10
61:15,18 64:3,17	10:7 15:19	appointments	72:15 82:16 88:21 90:12
65:22 68:22	68:21	48:12	91:17
69:8,19	answered	appreciate	asking
72:22 90:12	14:2 22:11	16:10 27:15	6:17 13:17
ahold	40:4 64:15	40:5 42:9	15:16,22,25
49:9	72:20 77:3	43:5 59:9	16:6,11,18
allegations	90:12 91:15,	88:25	22:5 66:14
42:25	17	appropriate	81:2,14,25
alleging	answering	89:6,15,25	82:1 84:8
31:9,14 32:5	21:14,18	appropriately	86:15
42:24	answers	86:10	assault
allowed	86:5	approve	48:20 78:19,
5:7 34:12,	anxiety	77:21	22
19,24	21:4 23:1,9,	approved	
- ,		77:22	

assaulted	33:6,16,25	begins	board
21:8	34:3 39:5,8,	50:20,22	43:19,21
asserts	11 46:20	behalf	boarded
38:1	47:1 56:25	37:12 78:4,	51:16
assist	57:4,5 60:16	10	boards
19:21,24	64:7 71:9	belief	16:16
assistance	75:8 77:18	10:2	border
73:6,10,14,	78:14 , 22	believe	34:16,19
23	79:2 83:23,	8:16 10:21,	breach
assistant	24 88:3	24 11:5 14:4	11:1 88:9
49:15,23,24,		27:5 32:11	
25 50:12,19		34:21 40:18	breached
51:4	В	87:23	10:22
	back	bell	breath
associated	11:17 20:19	36:14	68:8
60:16,19	21:1 23:6,		brief
70:20	11,19 24:8	below 50:9	89:2
assuming	27:10,14		briefly
46:18 57:12	31:3 34:17	benefit	53:22
92:1,6	43:9 48:4	20:12	bring
asthma	49:2 65:24	benefits	7:6 82:17
89:22	76:20 82:22	14:12,16	86:13
attack	bad	27:20	brought
26:2 27:21	45:12,20	besides	7:3,7 58:11
attorney		89:19	business
15:21 16:13	bag 70:20 79:7	better	39:13
42:6 65:15		6:4 88:5,22	
87:15	banded	bias	
attorneys	53:24	39:3	
5:21	Baptist	biased	call
authority	7:2	36:22	18:18 19:2,
48:11	bar	biassed	10.10.13.27
available	16:16	39:7 40:24	called
9:21 10:6	based	87:12	35:6 40:24
average	8:25 10:20,	billing	49:23 53:1
52:10,13	25 15:7	79:19	79:15
55:23 56:1,	20:18 47:10	bit	calls
11 76:15	70:11 75:21	76:13 90:21	20:9 86:2
81:2	86:25	bleeding	
averages	basically	78:15,19,21	cancer
80:23	14:15 34:7	79:4	84:14,19,23
aware	61:19,24	block	85:2,4
19:25 20:9,	66:3	54:15	Cansicar
20 24:2	Beaumont	blood	14:21 17:4
27:19 29:15,	43:18	23:13 79:8	Cansicar's
22,25 30:4,8	beginning		12:18
31:8,13	63:20	bloody	car
32:4,9,22		78:16	33:2
		I	I

care	certain	Clair	70:13,18
10:22 11:1	12:24 15:25	7:1 25:5	81:7 85:7
12:2 13:7,16	certainly	42:15,19	colostomy
18:8 19:12,	16:9	47:14	12:15 14:12,
14 38:18	certified	claudication	23 15:3,5,12
47:24 54:12	43:19,21	68:9	16:22 17:19
57:1 76:6	· ·	clear	20:4,7 21:2,
78:11 88:9	chance	77:11	5,7,8 23:11,
career	30:13 81:17		18 24:14
37:17 38:2,	change	clearly	25:13 26:23
4,8,15 60:13	64:4 69:25	77:3	27:2 28:6,14
	changed	clinic	29:3,6,11,
case 5:12,14	88:2	6:20	12,14,16,17,
8:12,19	changes	clinical	23 31:10,15
•	63:17	15:4 49:15	32:7,19,21,
9:17,18 10:16 12:15	chapter	50:12,19	22 33:15,16
14:9,21 17:3	66:21,24	51:3 83:17	39:15 41:7,
14:9,21 17:3	characterize	clinics	24 42:2,3
,	73:4	70:3 71:21	46:23 48:22,
24:21 34:13,	charging	close	23 59:20,22
22 35:3,4,5,	54:14 79:23	56:22	60:7,11,12
6,8,15 36:1,	chart	closure	62:9,13,15,
8,11,17,21 37:3,10,14,	15:4 67:16	60:11	18 63:1,9
20 38:22,25	90:14	closures	64:10 66:4,
39:12 40:22	charts	60:12	9,21 70:6
49:4 51:12	17:17	Colleen	71:11,19
53:22 54:25	check	46:21 48:11	72:9 73:18
55:14,25	55:8 79:18	College	78:25 79:7
57:22 58:13	chemotherapy	44:12	82:6 83:7,13
59:6 67:12	84:20	colocecostomi	87:1 88:23
79:18,19,20	chest	es	90:7,16
84:10 86:18	68:8	38:2,4,14	come
87:16,21	circumstances	colon	17:18,20
90:9	75:8	52:9 54:5	18:8,14,17
Caselaw		84:19 85:4	82:13
34:14	cite	colonoscopies	comes
cases	35:25 36:12	52:12	55:16
38:25 44:16,	citing	colorectal	comfort
20 49:6	86:8	8:22 34:23,	47:11
51:18 53:6,	City	25 35:23	comment
20 54:8	43:12	38:7 43:15,	83:1,5,8
55:15 56:2	claim	20 44:11	86:15
causing	37:15 54:11	51:14,16	comments
21:9	claiming	57:7,9	10:11
cavity	32:12,15	coloscopies	communicated
61:21	claims	51:25 52:1	68:14
Center	31:9 32:5	colostomies	comorbidities
8:10 36:9	36:10	52:9 66:16	60:23 83:14
			00.20 00.11

0.0.01	1	1122001	
89:21	consensus	11:3 22:4	Corrections
company	80:11,14	29:5 31:21	20:3 71:13
42:18 78:4	82:10	36:19 42:7,	74:21 77:19,
compared	consent	11,14 43:4,6	25 78:17
84:13	28:1	48:2,8	Corrections'
complaint	consider	55:12,13	78:5
7:9,24 44:6,	41:20	61:8,16	counsel
13 57:21	considering	63:23 64:16	26:13 55:2
complaints	41:14	65:1,18 68:4	counseling
24:7,13	constant	69:9,20	71:10,11
25:12 29:23	69:25	70:10 71:2	counselor
32:20 67:18,	constipation	80:6,7,10	21:23 40:2,
24 68:2,6,7,	78:15	86:4,12	18 41:10
10,13	consults	90:13,21,24	48:18 52:6
complete	75:1	92:11	81:6,22
19:14	contacted	Corbet's	83:22 85:20
completed	20:3 46:21	74:13	86:19 87:23
33:3	47:1	Corizon	county
complication	context	5:11 7:12,13	25:5 42:15,
31:5	37:21 66:19	correct	19 47:14
complications	83:5,12,15,	5:17,24 9:7,	48:23
39:18,21,24	19,21 84:17	20 10:18	couple
40:9,16	85:15 86:20	11:2 13:16	46:5 58:2
79:12	continuous	17:21 18:1,	64:2
computer	45:6	3,9,11,15	course
58:19,21	contraindicat	19:12,23	13:25 14:5
59:1	ion	20:13 22:13	48:18 60:13,
concern	90:15	26:3,19,20	21 62:19
19:11	contraindicat	28:11,15,23	63:18
1 9 - 1 1		29:4,19 31:4	
		22.16.17	court
concerns	ions	32:16,17	5:8 34:7
concerns 25:16,19	ions 28:13 80:15	33:11 35:12	
concerns 25:16,19 conclusion	ions 28:13 80:15 controlled	33:11 35:12 37:6 41:16	5:8 34:7
concerns 25:16,19 conclusion 18:8,17	ions 28:13 80:15 controlled 88:22 89:24	33:11 35:12 37:6 41:16 45:9,22	5:8 34:7 35:6,10,14,
concerns 25:16,19 conclusion 18:8,17 conclusions	ions 28:13 80:15 controlled 88:22 89:24 COPD	33:11 35:12 37:6 41:16 45:9,22 50:15 62:22	5:8 34:7 35:6,10,14, 15 36:10,21
concerns 25:16,19 conclusion 18:8,17 conclusions 15:7 17:6,7,	ions 28:13 80:15 controlled 88:22 89:24 COPD 89:22	33:11 35:12 37:6 41:16 45:9,22 50:15 62:22 68:17 73:10	5:8 34:7 35:6,10,14, 15 36:10,21 37:3 38:10,
concerns 25:16,19 conclusion 18:8,17 conclusions 15:7 17:6,7, 8,18,20	ions 28:13 80:15 controlled 88:22 89:24 COPD 89:22 cope	33:11 35:12 37:6 41:16 45:9,22 50:15 62:22 68:17 73:10 75:6 76:3,19	5:8 34:7 35:6,10,14, 15 36:10,21 37:3 38:10, 13 39:2 74:4
concerns 25:16,19 conclusion 18:8,17 conclusions 15:7 17:6,7, 8,18,20 18:10,14	ions 28:13 80:15 controlled 88:22 89:24 COPD 89:22 cope 71:11,18	33:11 35:12 37:6 41:16 45:9,22 50:15 62:22 68:17 73:10 75:6 76:3,19 77:6 78:6,11	5:8 34:7 35:6,10,14, 15 36:10,21 37:3 38:10, 13 39:2 74:4 court's
concerns 25:16,19 conclusion 18:8,17 conclusions 15:7 17:6,7, 8,18,20 18:10,14 condition	ions 28:13 80:15 controlled 88:22 89:24 COPD 89:22 cope 71:11,18 Copies	33:11 35:12 37:6 41:16 45:9,22 50:15 62:22 68:17 73:10 75:6 76:3,19 77:6 78:6,11 80:18,19	5:8 34:7 35:6,10,14, 15 36:10,21 37:3 38:10, 13 39:2 74:4 court's 37:19 38:19
concerns 25:16,19 conclusion 18:8,17 conclusions 15:7 17:6,7, 8,18,20 18:10,14 condition 23:1,10,17	ions 28:13 80:15 controlled 88:22 89:24 COPD 89:22 cope 71:11,18 Copies 92:9	33:11 35:12 37:6 41:16 45:9,22 50:15 62:22 68:17 73:10 75:6 76:3,19 77:6 78:6,11 80:18,19 82:3,6,11	5:8 34:7 35:6,10,14, 15 36:10,21 37:3 38:10, 13 39:2 74:4 court's 37:19 38:19 courts
concerns 25:16,19 conclusion 18:8,17 conclusions 15:7 17:6,7, 8,18,20 18:10,14 condition 23:1,10,17 30:6 31:2	ions 28:13 80:15 controlled 88:22 89:24 COPD 89:22 cope 71:11,18 Copies 92:9 coping	33:11 35:12 37:6 41:16 45:9,22 50:15 62:22 68:17 73:10 75:6 76:3,19 77:6 78:6,11 80:18,19	5:8 34:7 35:6,10,14, 15 36:10,21 37:3 38:10, 13 39:2 74:4 court's 37:19 38:19 courts 34:1,3 37:8
concerns 25:16,19 conclusion 18:8,17 conclusions 15:7 17:6,7, 8,18,20 18:10,14 condition 23:1,10,17 30:6 31:2 62:25 63:16,	ions 28:13 80:15 controlled 88:22 89:24 COPD 89:22 cope 71:11,18 Copies 92:9 coping 71:16 72:2	33:11 35:12 37:6 41:16 45:9,22 50:15 62:22 68:17 73:10 75:6 76:3,19 77:6 78:6,11 80:18,19 82:3,6,11 83:11 84:1,	5:8 34:7 35:6,10,14, 15 36:10,21 37:3 38:10, 13 39:2 74:4 court's 37:19 38:19 courts 34:1,3 37:8 39:6,9 40:24
concerns 25:16,19 conclusion 18:8,17 conclusions 15:7 17:6,7, 8,18,20 18:10,14 condition 23:1,10,17 30:6 31:2 62:25 63:16, 17 64:5	ions 28:13 80:15 controlled 88:22 89:24 COPD 89:22 cope 71:11,18 Copies 92:9 coping 71:16 72:2 73:1	33:11 35:12 37:6 41:16 45:9,22 50:15 62:22 68:17 73:10 75:6 76:3,19 77:6 78:6,11 80:18,19 82:3,6,11 83:11 84:1, 25 85:18	5:8 34:7 35:6,10,14, 15 36:10,21 37:3 38:10, 13 39:2 74:4 court's 37:19 38:19 courts 34:1,3 37:8 39:6,9 40:24 87:11
concerns 25:16,19 conclusion 18:8,17 conclusions 15:7 17:6,7, 8,18,20 18:10,14 condition 23:1,10,17 30:6 31:2 62:25 63:16, 17 64:5 conditions	ions 28:13 80:15 controlled 88:22 89:24 COPD 89:22 cope 71:11,18 Copies 92:9 coping 71:16 72:2 73:1 copy	33:11 35:12 37:6 41:16 45:9,22 50:15 62:22 68:17 73:10 75:6 76:3,19 77:6 78:6,11 80:18,19 82:3,6,11 83:11 84:1, 25 85:18 86:18,24	5:8 34:7 35:6,10,14, 15 36:10,21 37:3 38:10, 13 39:2 74:4 court's 37:19 38:19 courts 34:1,3 37:8 39:6,9 40:24 87:11 covered
concerns 25:16,19 conclusion 18:8,17 conclusions 15:7 17:6,7, 8,18,20 18:10,14 condition 23:1,10,17 30:6 31:2 62:25 63:16, 17 64:5 conditions 24:3	ions 28:13 80:15 controlled 88:22 89:24 COPD 89:22 cope 71:11,18 Copies 92:9 coping 71:16 72:2 73:1 copy 10:10,12,13	33:11 35:12 37:6 41:16 45:9,22 50:15 62:22 68:17 73:10 75:6 76:3,19 77:6 78:6,11 80:18,19 82:3,6,11 83:11 84:1, 25 85:18 86:18,24 87:20 88:8	5:8 34:7 35:6,10,14, 15 36:10,21 37:3 38:10, 13 39:2 74:4 court's 37:19 38:19 courts 34:1,3 37:8 39:6,9 40:24 87:11 covered 86:19
concerns 25:16,19 conclusion 18:8,17 conclusions 15:7 17:6,7, 8,18,20 18:10,14 condition 23:1,10,17 30:6 31:2 62:25 63:16, 17 64:5 conditions 24:3 connect	ions 28:13 80:15 controlled 88:22 89:24 COPD 89:22 cope 71:11,18 Copies 92:9 coping 71:16 72:2 73:1 copy 10:10,12,13 12:4,6 92:11	33:11 35:12 37:6 41:16 45:9,22 50:15 62:22 68:17 73:10 75:6 76:3,19 77:6 78:6,11 80:18,19 82:3,6,11 83:11 84:1, 25 85:18 86:18,24 87:20 88:8 89:8,17	5:8 34:7 35:6,10,14, 15 36:10,21 37:3 38:10, 13 39:2 74:4 court's 37:19 38:19 courts 34:1,3 37:8 39:6,9 40:24 87:11 covered 86:19 covering
concerns 25:16,19 conclusion 18:8,17 conclusions 15:7 17:6,7, 8,18,20 18:10,14 condition 23:1,10,17 30:6 31:2 62:25 63:16, 17 64:5 conditions 24:3	ions 28:13 80:15 controlled 88:22 89:24 COPD 89:22 cope 71:11,18 Copies 92:9 coping 71:16 72:2 73:1 copy 10:10,12,13 12:4,6 92:11 Corbet	33:11 35:12 37:6 41:16 45:9,22 50:15 62:22 68:17 73:10 75:6 76:3,19 77:6 78:6,11 80:18,19 82:3,6,11 83:11 84:1, 25 85:18 86:18,24 87:20 88:8 89:8,17 Correction	5:8 34:7 35:6,10,14, 15 36:10,21 37:3 38:10, 13 39:2 74:4 court's 37:19 38:19 courts 34:1,3 37:8 39:6,9 40:24 87:11 covered 86:19 covering 54:6
concerns 25:16,19 conclusion 18:8,17 conclusions 15:7 17:6,7, 8,18,20 18:10,14 condition 23:1,10,17 30:6 31:2 62:25 63:16, 17 64:5 conditions 24:3 connect	ions 28:13 80:15 controlled 88:22 89:24 COPD 89:22 cope 71:11,18 Copies 92:9 coping 71:16 72:2 73:1 copy 10:10,12,13 12:4,6 92:11	33:11 35:12 37:6 41:16 45:9,22 50:15 62:22 68:17 73:10 75:6 76:3,19 77:6 78:6,11 80:18,19 82:3,6,11 83:11 84:1, 25 85:18 86:18,24 87:20 88:8 89:8,17 Correction 7:10 8:3	5:8 34:7 35:6,10,14, 15 36:10,21 37:3 38:10, 13 39:2 74:4 court's 37:19 38:19 courts 34:1,3 37:8 39:6,9 40:24 87:11 covered 86:19 covering 54:6 credentials

credibility	Dan	defendants	11,13 10:13
34:2,4,8	42:14 55:4	5:11 9:18	12:1,4,9,10,
36:4,5 37:20	65:16,19,20	definition	14 14:25
39:4,7	80:7,8	82:7	24:9 45:16,
credible	'		18,19 46:7,
	dangerous	degree	12 54:14,20
36:22 40:24	75:19,25	22:18,23	58:8 66:6,7
87:12	76:22	75:10,11	82:16 87:13
cross	data	degrees	depositions
22:5 36:16	81:8,14,15,	22:20	45:21 53:20
55:7 59:12,	16	delay	54:15 56:4
16,18 61:9,	dated	64:9 90:10	87:24
17 63:14	79:16	delayed	
64:6,18	day	30:13 83:3,	depression
65:2,21	9:5 78:24	9,19,24	22:25
66:13 67:22	87:18	85:11,12	describing
68:12,23	days	delaying	66:3
69:5,15	76:10	64:7	determined
70:5,16 71:4 74:5,6 79:14	De	delivered	77:10
86:2 89:2,4	51:22	11:21 18:9	determining
90:17 91:23	deal	19:14	89:15
92:10	70:18	demonstrated	develop
	dealing	37:4	79:11
Cross's 49:7	70:12 73:8	demonstrates	developed
	dealt	39:3	21:4
CROSS-	22:8	dense	developing
EXAMINATION	Dean	83:17 85:14	30:14
42:10 59:17	35:6 36:1	86:23	Devlin
current	death	deny	5:11 9:25
6:15	26:2 27:21	48:12	36:16 43:4
curriculum	60:16,19	dep	59:13 65:12
49:11	December	82:20	diabetes
cut	23:19 29:21	Department	60:23 88:22
62:2 72:11	46:14	7:10 8:3	89:21
CV	decides	20:3 71:13	diagnosed
11:10,25	17:10	74:20 77:19,	23:17
	decision	25 78:5,8,17	die
D	15:5 35:7	dependent	60:9,11,25
	77:13 87:19	89:7	died
D-E-A-N	90:6	depending	60:7
36:1	decisions	80:15	difference
damage	9:2,5,10,14	depends	62:24 63:16
25:25 27:23	10:25 17:24	88:13	66:11
28:24 31:10,	19:22 67:8	DEPONENT	differences
15 32:6	decrease	92:13	14:22 15:1,
damages	90:1		11 16:21,25
78:25	defendant	deposition	17:1,8
	57:3	5:6,10,17 6:7,9 7:4,	, -
		0.1,9 1:4,	

different	disease	16:21	either
8:17 9:14	80:15 81:8		31:18 32:10,
13:5,12	84:5,17 85:6	doctors/	24 40:2,18
15:7,19 54:6	dispute	physicians 9:13	54:4,9 84:22
56:22 65:17	33:8		86:14
66:9 76:13		document	elaborate
77:13 81:7	disputing	20:1 55:9	
differently	31:18 32:10,	79:14 81:24	72:14,15 73:15 80:19
13:8	23 33:10,20	82:1	81:23
difficult	diverticuliti	documents	elaborated
30:15 32:13	S 04.13.10	82:17	81:19
61:25 62:5,	84:13,18	dog-ear	
7,9,16 63:9	85:1,8,9,12,	58:16	elaboration
69:23	22 88:7,12, 15	doing	74:1
difficulties		20:12,13	elect
5:23	DMC	35:20 38:21	28:14,15,16,
	27:4 28:4	51:22,23	17
direct 22:3	63:6,7 90:25	52:8 75:5	elective
	91:1,4	dont	28:6
DIRECT-	docket	58:25	emailed
EXAMINATION	36:2	driven	91:10
5:3	doctor	40:23	embarrassing
directed	6:12 8:24	drop	70:1
40:6	9:13 11:10	53:16	emergencies
disability	12:23 13:2,	dropped	28:8
70:15	13,19 16:20	53:15	emergency
disagree	17:10,12	due	47:9 78:23
14:15,19	18:25 19:1	15:24	emergent
20:15,16,22	23:3,18 26:3 27:12,17	duly	28:9,25
30:9,11	29:20 30:12	5:2	emotional
80:17 82:9,	31:22 32:20	dying	72:1
11,23 84:25	33:4 38:17,	40:1	emphysema
85:18	19,20 39:5,		89:22
disciplinary	22 42:4,12	E	employed
44:3	43:7 57:12		42:18
disciplines	59:9 65:3	e-tran	end
43:22	71:9 72:10	92:8,12	37:6,12
disconnect	74:14 75:3	earlier	38:18 39:4
61:20	80:5,6 81:11	5:10 33:13	63:19 64:1
discovery	87:13 89:1	37:16 38:14	80:3
52:16	90:12,20	40:19 46:12	endpoint
discuss	91:18,22	early	13:9
11:8 22:5	doctor's	38:4	engaged
46:23	12:1 13:10	Eiferman	38:4
discussed	18:18 19:3	38:17	entire
20:11 45:4	doctors	eight	27:6 91:25
discussion	13:4,22	85:22 87:4	essentially
10:14 28:1	14:22 15:2,4	00.22 07.4	25:11
	1	1	1

event	56:14 86:18	54:25 60:21	79:25
34:18 75:3	expertise	79:24 84:19	fluctuation
everybody	37:5 38:12	Federal	57:8
12:21	experts	5:8	follow
Everything's	39:10 45:4	fee	12:24 13:5
59:1	74:9	54:16	42:20 43:7
evidence	explain	feel	follow-up
5:8 38:16,20	61:11	19:16	30:5 71:5
39:16 40:13	exposed	fellows	80:7 90:21
63:8 66:6	75:10,11	74:11,16	following
90:14	extra	fellowship	67:11 78:24
exactly	11:21	43:15	forget
67:19 85:19	extremes	felt	48:19
exam	90:5	66:5	form
16:16		fibrosis	64:16,25
examination	F	30:14 61:11,	65:18 68:4
30:10		20,23	69:9,20 70:9
exams	facility	file	71:2 90:13
17:18	18:19 19:2	8:8 25:6	format
excuse	fact	filed	5:19
44:23	9:4 17:15	7:9 44:6,13	formation
exercise	19:10 20:14	55:2	30:17 62:13
8:25 9:18	21:4,7 24:17	final	85:8
10:17,20	29:20 30:22	9:21,25	formed
exhibit	32:18 34:22	46:13,17,19	81:7 82:6
11:25 12:1,	40:6,16 66:8	find	forward
11	68:11 70:13	23:20 72:11	40:8
exhibited	90:3	findings	found
38:18	factors	37:8,19	34:1,3
exist	62:8,10	fine	35:10,16
26:9	88:13,19	16:19 30:22	36:4,22 87:11
existed	89:18	finish	
30:19	facts	14:3 21:22	foundation 31:21 61:7
expect	19:20 32:23	firm	63:5,12,22
76:18,20	failed 9:18 10:17	49:7	64:17,25
experience 61:3 69:11	failure	first	65:14,18
70:12 75:5	10:20	33:23 34:13	66:12 67:21
	fair	35:5 36:16 42:21 43:3	68:3,16
experiencing 23:22	5:25 23:3	45:18 46:21	69:8,19,20
	46:1 48:25	57:24 64:2	70:9 71:2
expert 7:8,9,12,14,	familiar	82:2 84:7,21	90:13
18,23 8:1,	34:14	87:6,18	four
12,19 35:21	family	91:6,12	44:24 52:11
36:5 39:6	57:13 76:6	five	54:15,21
40:22 41:15	far	44:24 47:20,	92:12
46:15 55:16	44:7,8 48:24	21 54:21	
	11.7,0 10.21		
	1	I	1

front	giving	happen	21,22 72:3,7
27:10 92:3	68:10 83:15	40:2 41:9,	73:1,8
full	goes	11,14,19	helping
35:23 50:3,6	39:2 42:22	happened	73:19
79:8	70:14	23:14 40:18	hernias
fully	going	41:2,3 48:19	90:1
19:7	11:17,24,25	53:25	Hey
function	15:20,22	happening	9:25
62:3	19:22 21:21,	77:5,8	hide
functional	23 22:15	hardcopies	21:7
20:7 30:16	23:6,14	58:23 59:1	hierarchy
functioning	26:11,14	hardcopy	49:17,19
24:14 30:6,	36:12 37:21	11:15	50:16
22 32:16	40:20 41:9	harm	highlighted
35:24	42:4,7 49:4 52:2 55:19	25:19	58:15
	56:19 57:7	Hartmann	history
G	59:14 61:6,	82:3,5,7	15:5 48:14,
	13 63:4,11,	83:8,25	24
G-Y-S-E-G-E-M	21 64:12,21	85:21	holding
36:9,18	67:20 69:1	Hartmann's	77:24
gastroplasty	70:8 72:18,	80:12 82:11	home
53:25	23 76:5,13	84:6,18,21	76:1,6,17,18
general	80:2 85:3	88:6,11,16	hospital
8:22 26:4	86:23 87:5	health 89:20	6:20 7:1,2
34:23 35:1,	good		19:1,3 50:1
9,11,18,21,	30:5 59:13	healthcare 9:6,10 20:2	75:1 76:10,
22,24 38:5,	grant	78:4,10,23	24
8,13 43:20 44:19 57:10	48:12	healthy	hospitals
60:17,19	greater	60:20,24	6:21,24
74:10,15	75:10,11	hear	host
generated	guess	11:19 31:20,	88:24
37:9	43:10 54:10	24 42:12	hour 18:16
genitourinary	56:13,19	47:25 48:2	hourly
26:1 27:25	57:7 59:14	74:23 77:15	54:22
getting	77:1 81:3 85:11	80:6 81:11	hours
26:6 31:3		87:11,15	54:15,21
39:15,19	guy 41:2 43:3	heard	80:1
give		34:7 39:9	hundred
18:5 34:24	Gysegem 36:8 74:3	49:3 52:24	41:10
35:16,17	30.0 / 1.3	65:11 86:4	hundreds
36:5 49:4		87:18	37:15 38:1
53:20 83:18	H	heart	60:14
given	halfway	26:2 27:21	Huron
5:17 6:7,8	halfway	help	8:7,10
13:23 78:7	01.1	19:5 70:21,	hypertension
84:16		24 71:11,18,	89:21

	income	51:2 63:25	20:12,19
I	37:9 55:16	instances	21:1,3
	incontinence	34:11	23:11,22
Ian	30:16 40:11	Intent	24:4 25:15,
55:5 59:15	independent	52:22,24	20,23 26:23
63:4	13:24 75:2	53:2	30:19 31:1,
ID	indicated	interested	8,13 32:4,18
36:11	6:7 35:8	51:15	33:2 39:14,
idea	74:4 77:9,12	internal	17 40:9 45:16,21
45:3 50:10	indicates	57:13	47:13 48:14
51:5,6 53:19	33:17 38:10	interpret	66:4,8,10
58:1 76:4,	indirectly	81:15	71:9 78:14
23,25 77:2,	77:11	interpreted	87:6
4 , 5	individual	66:23	Jackson's
identified	88:13,19	intraabdomina	12:15 20:4
23:9	individualize	1	24:20 29:20
ileostomy	85:5	41:8 84:9	33:1 45:25
73:18 90:7	individuals	87:2	62:21 63:9
Illinois	74:20	invoices	64:10 90:8,
77:19	infection	55:1,6 79:20	16
imagine	26:2 27:22	involved	jail
58:19	75:10	32:21 33:2	25:5,6,7
immunocomprom	infections	53:23,24	42:15,19,21,
ised	90:1	56:13	22,25 46:21
62:14 88:20	infirm	involvement	47:14 48:17,
implying	76:24	5:13 17:24	23 78:17
78 : 2	inflammation	involves	jail/prison
impression	87:3	35:15 70:7	66:10
64:19,23	information	issue	jails
impressions	18:20 19:4,	72:3	75:23
65 : 7	5,9,13,19,20	issues	January
incarceration	20:18	5:22 20:6	29:21 35:7
63:18,20	informed	21:4,9,10	job
64:2	27:25	30:7 33:18, 24 60:25	64:24
incidence	initially	63:10 70:2,	Join
90:1	72:7	4,19 78:15,	61:8,16
incident	injured	16,24 89:23	63:23 65:1
34:15	11:2	issuing	70:10
include	injuries	41:15	judgment
54:17	78:25		8:25 9:4,10,
included	injury	_	15,19 10:17,
83:16	23:21 31:2	J	21,25 13:11, 12 14:16
including	75:11	Jackson	17:1 20:11,
7:7 26:1	inside	7:12 9:19	18 41:21
27:20,24 58:15	81:8	10:18 11:2	judgments
JU • IJ	instance		,
	34:13,21	14:13,17	17:25 19:22

July 23, 2021				
June	18 60:14	leads	76:13 90:21	
26:23 28:3	61:2,4 65:16	40:18	live	
30:23	76:4,9,11,	leak	70:23	
jury's	23,25 77:7	27:23 70:1	living	
64:24	79:21 81:4	leaking	34:15	
	82:17 83:10	25:24	located	
K	87:21	learn	6:22	
	knowledge	71:18	long	
Kansakar	9:1 49:8	leave	51:7	
23:19 25:22	56:16 75:13, 15	82:20	longer	
29:21 40:17		left	30:13 41:7	
45:16 46:8,	known 46:10	66:10	85:4,11	
10 67:11	Krause	leg	88:17	
87:5	57:15	68:9	look	
Kansakar's	37.13	lengthy	10:8 11:15	
46:22 47:6		28:1	17:17 27:11	
Kansas	L	letter	36:25 56:2 86:6 89:10,	
43:12	labeled	55:7,9	11,14,18,20	
keep 15:16 16:6	8:8 46:15	79:15,16	90:6	
72:23	lack	letting	looked	
kind	34:2,4 36:4	22:2	27:8 79:14	
8:4 28:23	38:18	Lexus 36:13	looking	
32:15 38:11,	lacked		8:7 17:4	
24 57:12	34:8	license 44:1,7	29:25 46:13	
71:10 72:1	lacking	licensed	83:14 86:9,	
73:10 77:13	36:5	6:11 23:4	15 90:8 91:9	
78:11	lacks	life	looks	
kinds	39:7 74:8	76:2	86:7	
89:22	ladder	limited	lose	
know	50:13,16,23	27:21	72:23 88:21	
5:24 6:3	Lake	line	lot	
8:18 10:11	8:7,10	46:24 66:17	39:10 56:21	
18:25 32:23	language	77:16	69:24 72:6	
36:24 40:7	17:5 33:23	lines	75:5 83:14	
41:3 44:7,8, 15,24 46:18	Larry	47:8 71:14	86:4 90:20	
47:16,22,23	65:15	84:23	lots 88:14,15	
48:10,11,13,	law	list	Louis	
14,21,24	16:15	78:20	6:19,23	
49:9,19,22	Laws	listed	34:17 43:14	
50:3,7,8	45:5	56:14	45:12,13	
51:3 52:15,	lawsuit	Listen	49:15 50:2,7	
25 53:16,17,	25:6 31:9,14	21:24 65:15	51:7 54:1	
19 54:13	32:5 54:9	literature	lower	
55:1 56:15	lawyer 35:20	82:14	23:5	
57:11,14,15,	33.20	little		
	I	1	I	

lowest	mandated	medical	Mercy
87:4	29:2,6	6:11 8:10,	7:1
	mandating	24,25 9:4,9,	meta-analysis
М	28:22 29:10	10,15,19	86:8
	March	10:17,21,25	Mich.app.
M-I-S-C	21:1 23:12,	13:10,12	lexus
36:13	14,22 24:4,	14:15 16:14	36:2
	8,11	17:1,13,24	Michigan
M.D.	MARGOLIS	18:8,19	5:7 7:10 8:3
5:1	65:11	19:21,25	20:2 34:22
made		20:6,7,11,	35:3,5,14,19
10:25 17:25	Margolis's	17,19,25	44:16,22,23
20:9 27:19	49:7	22:23 23:18,	
38:20 67:11	mark	20 24:2,7,13	53:1 56:20,
77:12 80:18	11:25 12:9,	25:9 28:4	25 71:12
83:13	10 28:5	31:2 36:9	78:5,7,17
majority	marked	39:10 40:8	middle-aged
28:16 34:25	12:2	41:20 42:14	60:20
35:22 37:16	material	43:10,11	mind
38:3 57:2,6	7:15	44:9 47:15	19:8 67:7
69:12,17	materials	49:21 55:25	mine
71:24 73:22	7:3 8:18	60:25 62:21,	11:21
85:6,21	matter	24 63:16,17	minutes
make	66:22	64:7 67:1,16	38:23
5:23 6:4		74:18 79:8	Misc
9:1,5 15:5	Mckenna		36:13
16:17 19:13	7:8	89:23 90:9	mischaracteri
62:4,8,15	Mckenna's	medications	
63:1,18 67:7	7:23	22:25	zed
69:7 81:16	Mcquiston	medicine	71:20
	7:10	6:18 9:1	mischaracteri
89:23,24	MDOC	13:7 23:10,	zes
90:2	77:18	21 57:12,13	63:13
making	mean	meet	mischaracteri
9:10 19:22	10:1 41:9,11	5:9	zing
24:13 28:25	51:17 58:2	member	73:3
48:16 62:7	62:14 65:16	44:9	misheard
90:6	66:20,24	mention	8:6
male	67:19 68:1	23:25	misrepresenti
60:20,24			ng
malpractice	means	mentioned	38:11
52 : 17	68:13 81:1	7:21 8:15	missing
manage	86:8 92:2	30:12 41:9	35:2
69 : 23	meant	45:19 48:18	
manager	66:21 84:22	61:10 78:1	Missouri
47:7	median	87:23	7:1 43:12
	80:21,25	mentioning	52:20,22
mandate	81:1,3 82:23	7:22 35:4	53:3,6
	1	11.10	14:
29:15 66:15, 18,23	85:25	41:18	Misstates

misunderstood	86:6 88:17		office
7:17	needed		6:21,22
month	92:4	0	18:19 19:3
24:25 56:2,	never	obese	20:3,5
5,7 59:23	33:4 34:7	62:11 88:20	46:22,23
60:1 88:1	41:2 45:11		47:2,6,7
months	53:2 67:8	obesity	91:24
30:25 64:3	74:11,14,16,	60:23	oftentimes
	25 75:22	object	28:10
80:16,20,22	77:7	16:14 69:8	Ohio
82:24 84:14,		objection	
24 85:1,2,7	nine	16:17 29:5	36:3,8,11,
86:1 88:5,	80:22 82:24	31:20,21	13,17 37:20 55:14 56:19
10,17	85:25	40:5 52:15	
morbid	nobody's	61:7,14	okay
60:23	56:9	63:3,4,11,22	7:15 9:24
morbidly	nonresponsive	64:13,22	10:4 11:15
62:11 88:20	40:21 64:14	65:9,11,19	16:18,20
move	notes	66:1 67:21	17:11 19:11
40:21 50:23	8:9 49:2	69:2,7 70:9	22:6,11
87:10	58:15 59:6	74:5 86:2	32:11 37:2
moved	67:10,15,24	90:12	41:6 42:12,
34:17 45:10,	notice	objections	15 43:9
11,14 50:12	5:7 7:13	81:21	46:20 47:1
multiple	12:4,9,10	objective	48:2 53:5,10
62:11 86:9	52:21,24	75:13,14	55:10 58:18
	53:1 58:8	obstructing	59:16 62:17
N N	62:24 82:16,	84:22	65:7 71:1
N	21	obtain	76:12 77:1,9
name	noticed	19:20,21	78:9 79:23
5:10 36:17	61:10	obviously	80:21 81:25
46:21 49:9	November	60:15	82:8 83:23
53:15,17	8:13,15		84:24 89:13
56:23	11:18 40:7	occasions 20:2,5 46:22	90:17 91:18
name's	79:17	·	oncology
42:14	number	occur	51:18
	36:11 52:5	26:3 33:5	one
named	53:13 55:22,	occurred	9:18 10:1,3
54:10	25 56:17	14:4 30:23	15:22,23
names	60:22	32:6 39:17	17:10 31:1,
56:18	numerous	occurring	19 34:15,17
necessary	22:8	28:24	42:1 43:25
63:19	nurse	offer	44:25 46:3,
necessity	42:17 46:20	73:21,23	16,22 47:17
20:8	47:2 48:11	offered	53:2,21
need	nutritional	71:10	54:3,9 55:14
17:16 19:19	83:18 84:9	offhand	56:6 59:24
25:24 27:22	90:3	47:16	60:21,25
65:23 83:12	30.3		63:25 65:15
	1	1	ı

	_		
74:25 81:8	originally	66:6	88:6,11
82:20 83:10	79:17	paper	patient's
85:13 87:11	ostomy	21:9 86:9	15:4 19:12
88:1,16	47:9	papers	88:13
89:9,14,19	out-of-jail	11:21	patients
ongoing	47:23 48:12	paragraph	6:25 9:6,11
53:6	outside	27:18 33:23	13:13 14:7
open	37:5 64:13,		22:9 26:6,18
7:14 78:16	22 68:21	parent	28:14,16,19,
operative	74:5	7:2	20 38:18
27:6 33:14,		part	51:24 69:17
22 63:6 91:4	outweighed 20:12	12:24 82:6	70:3,12,17
		87:9,13	71:15 72:6
opine	overwhelming	particular	73:8,9,17,
84:8	28:16 34:25	5:14 7:8	18,19 84:12
opined	35:22 37:16	8:11 13:3	85:12,21
78:12	38:3 69:12,	17:23,25	88:15 90:4
opinion	17 71:24	18:20 19:3,	
9:17 10:16	73:22 85:6,	4,6,8,23	patients'
13:14,21	20	29:15 32:5	
14:22 15:11		35:8 36:21	payment
16:21 17:1	P	42:5 44:25	55:5,8
18:6 39:1		49:20 54:13	pays
74:4 82:14	page	70:4 71:25	48:6,10
opinions	28:4 33:21	73:1 75:4	pelvis
5:14 11:7	92:12	77:20,22	30:14 61:21
13:23 15:2	pages	81:8 82:1	people
34:2 35:16	58:16	passed	62:13 65:17
36:4,22 49:3	paid	16:16	69:13,22
51:11 78:7	18:13,16	past	70:3,21
opportunity	47:23 79:21	62:12 91:7,8	71:16,17,20
5:9 12:13	pain	pathologies	72:5,25
62:20	24:14 30:7	54:6	73:6,16,19,
opposing	68:8,9	patient	22 74:17
74:9	,	13:21 18:21,	perceive
optimize	Papendick 7:11 9:17	25 19:4,6,9,	75:16,18
83:17	10:17,21	15,17,23	percent
options	20:17,21	24:6,13	29:17 37:9,
9:5	12 25:15,18	27:19,25	11 40:2
order	39:25 40:15,	28:24 29:2,	41:10 55:17
7:8 12:2	22,23 45:17,	10,12,13	57:8,9,10
35:11,21	22,23 43.17,	47:11 54:6	60:21,25
86:9 92:4	66:2 77:10,	62:18 67:3,	69:13
organs	12,23	16 68:1,11,	perception
32:21	Papendick's	14 72:4	75:16,21
	14:8 20:10	76:1,15,16	76:8,12
original 23:7	46:3 64:11,	77:13 81:5	perceptions
23:1	1	82:2 83:18	75:14
	19 65:5,8	85:5,9 86:25	
	•		

perfectly	75:19,25	potentially	prior
21:5	plaintiff	75:18	7:22 13:22
perform	18:3,5,6,7,	pouch	62:12
26:7,18	13 67:12	72:7	prison
59:22 63:19	86:17	pouches	25:5,11,12
76:5,17	plaintiff's	71:22	26:9,10 33:1
performed	18:11 91:23	pouching	42:22 43:1
12:14 26:22	plaintiffs	72:8 73:19	62:22 63:1
28:10,11,12,	37:12	practice	75:9 , 22
13 32:7	planning	6:14,15,16,	76:15 78:5,
37:11,15	25 : 6	18 8:21,22	11,23
38:1,3 41:24	please	12:20,21,25	prisoner
42:2 59:20	20:24 35:2	13:3,4,13	74:25 75:2
60:6,13 84:6	36:17 92:11	14:1,5	76:14,18,20
performing	point	19:17,18	prisoners
51:25 89:16	13:20 33:5	35:9 45:7	75:8
period	63:12 64:14	68:19 75:4	prisons
36:13	81:1 86:20	practices	75:18,23,25
personal	points	57:19	77:6,8
47:10 68:18	8:17	practicing	privileges
70:19	policies	35:11,18	6:25 44:4
personally	77:20 78:1,	57:2,4	probably
68:6 69:4,10	3,6,8,9,12	preliminary	11:16,17
pertain	polyps	46:15,17,18	42:7 52:14
50:17	78:15	58:6	56:2,9,12,
Ph.d.	poor	prep	21,22 58:4,
22:21	30:16 32:15	54:17	18 76:21
physical	pop-up	preparation	87:3
17:18 20:25	42:5	79:24	problem
23:10,17,18,	populated	prepare	16:11 20:25
21 24:3	76:21	38:24 54:19	23:18 36:3
25:12 31:5,	position	prepared	55:12 59:11
10,14 32:6	18:11 50:24,	11:7	72:2
physician	25	preponderance	problems
19:10 54:7	positions	45:2	5:24 20:19
physicians	49:17	prescribe	23:8,9 24:1, 2,7 30:19
17:13,17	possible	22:25	33:4 39:14,
61:4	9:16 13:17	present	17,24 40:10
place	15:9 16:24,	19:19	procedure
61:6,13	25 40:12	presented	26:7,19
63:3,4,11,21	91:12,14	66:7	27:20 30:16
64:12,21	possibly	presenting	32:13 51:22
67:20 69:1	25:3 48:17	38:22	52:7 60:7
70:8 84:7,22	potential	pretty	67:4,6
87:6 90:11	20:4 25:24	77:3	80:13,22
places	27:22,23	Primarily	82:3,5,11,24
72:7 73:9	39:14 62:6,7	63:24	83:8,25

85:25 87:2	psychiatry	23:7,15	Ralph
88:6,11	22:23	25:16 26:11,	5:1,6
procedures	psychological	12,14,17	ran
28:9 37:15	21:4 22:18,	29:1,9 31:12	36:3
52:1,5 77:21	20 23:8,25	32:4 34:4	range
84:6 85:21	24:1 70:2	40:6,14,20,	57:10
88:16	71:16 72:2	25 41:12	rarely
process	psychological	44:20 46:16	28:17
80:15 81:9	ly	48:4,7 55:24	rate
84:17	71:23	56:17 64:13,	54:22 80:2
processes	psychologist	14 65:13,23,	re-operation
84:5 85:6	21:11,15,19	24 68:22	25:24 27:22
productive	22:8,13 23:4	70:11 72:10,	reach
30:6	psychology	16,17,20,25	15:7
professional	21:10 22:16,	73:4,11,25	
57:2,6	21.10 22.10,	74:3,13	read
professionals	psychosocial	75:13 , 20	14:25 20:14
8:24 9:9	73:21	81:10,13,18	24:9,11
		82:22 86:10	27:16,17
professor	<pre>punched 21:8 23:13</pre>	87:8,10	33:22 37:4,
49:15,24		89:12 91:22	23 45:15,18,
50:4,6,12,19	purposes	questioning	21,25 46:3,7
51:4	5:7 57:1	46:25 66:17	48:4,16
profuse	pursuant	77:17	57:21,24
79:4	5:7	questions	59:3 65:24
programs	put		81:17
F-09-000	Puc	5:13,22	
71:16,25	34:11 37:21	5:13,22 15:22,23	reading
	l -	15:22,23	66:5 71:14
71:16,25 73:1	34:11 37:21	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2
71:16,25	34:11 37:21 39:22,25	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5	66:5 71:14
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17,	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting 86:20	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2 properly	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19 71:5 72:16	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18 reality 75:22
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2 properly 24:15 30:6	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting 86:20	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19 71:5 72:16 90:18	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18 reality 75:22 reason
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2 properly 24:15 30:6 provide	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting 86:20 	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19 71:5 72:16 90:18 quote	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18 reality 75:22 reason 30:9,11
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2 properly 24:15 30:6 provide 26:18 72:8	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting 86:20 Q qualified 34:9 35:17,	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19 71:5 72:16 90:18 quote 37:6,8,12,25	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18 reality 75:22 reason 30:9,11 45:13 64:7,9
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2 properly 24:15 30:6 provide 26:18 72:8 73:17 78:10	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting 86:20 Q qualified 34:9 35:17, 18	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19 71:5 72:16 90:18 quote 37:6,8,12,25 38:16,18	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18 reality 75:22 reason 30:9,11 45:13 64:7,9 66:8 68:11
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2 properly 24:15 30:6 provide 26:18 72:8 73:17 78:10 provided	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting 86:20 ————————————————————————————————————	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19 71:5 72:16 90:18 quote 37:6,8,12,25 38:16,18 39:2,4 74:8	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18 reality 75:22 reason 30:9,11 45:13 64:7,9 66:8 68:11 85:10 88:16
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2 properly 24:15 30:6 provide 26:18 72:8 73:17 78:10 provided 8:14 20:18	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting 86:20 Q qualified 34:9 35:17, 18 question 6:2,4,15	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19 71:5 72:16 90:18 quote 37:6,8,12,25 38:16,18 39:2,4 74:8 quoted	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18 reality 75:22 reason 30:9,11 45:13 64:7,9 66:8 68:11 85:10 88:16 89:13 90:9
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2 properly 24:15 30:6 provide 26:18 72:8 73:17 78:10 provided 8:14 20:18 providers	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting 86:20 Q qualified 34:9 35:17, 18 question 6:2,4,15 7:20 10:5,7	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19 71:5 72:16 90:18 quote 37:6,8,12,25 38:16,18 39:2,4 74:8 quoted 55:15	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18 reality 75:22 reason 30:9,11 45:13 64:7,9 66:8 68:11 85:10 88:16 89:13 90:9 reasonable
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2 properly 24:15 30:6 provide 26:18 72:8 73:17 78:10 provided 8:14 20:18 providers 20:2 42:15	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting 86:20 —	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19 71:5 72:16 90:18 quote 37:6,8,12,25 38:16,18 39:2,4 74:8 quoted 55:15 quoting	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18 reality 75:22 reason 30:9,11 45:13 64:7,9 66:8 68:11 85:10 88:16 89:13 90:9 reasonable 9:14 19:18
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2 properly 24:15 30:6 provide 26:18 72:8 73:17 78:10 provided 8:14 20:18 providers 20:2 42:15 70:18 78:10,	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting 86:20 Q qualified 34:9 35:17, 18 question 6:2,4,15 7:20 10:5,7 13:2 14:3 15:16,18,24,	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19 71:5 72:16 90:18 quote 37:6,8,12,25 38:16,18 39:2,4 74:8 quoted 55:15	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18 reality 75:22 reason 30:9,11 45:13 64:7,9 66:8 68:11 85:10 88:16 89:13 90:9 reasonable 9:14 19:18 60:5
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2 properly 24:15 30:6 provide 26:18 72:8 73:17 78:10 provided 8:14 20:18 providers 20:2 42:15 70:18 78:10, 23	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting 86:20 Q qualified 34:9 35:17, 18 question 6:2,4,15 7:20 10:5,7 13:2 14:3 15:16,18,24, 25 16:1,2,3,	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19 71:5 72:16 90:18 quote 37:6,8,12,25 38:16,18 39:2,4 74:8 quoted 55:15 quoting	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18 reality 75:22 reason 30:9,11 45:13 64:7,9 66:8 68:11 85:10 88:16 89:13 90:9 reasonable 9:14 19:18 60:5 reasons
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2 properly 24:15 30:6 provide 26:18 72:8 73:17 78:10 provided 8:14 20:18 providers 20:2 42:15 70:18 78:10, 23 psychiatric	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting 86:20 —	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19 71:5 72:16 90:18 quote 37:6,8,12,25 38:16,18 39:2,4 74:8 quoted 55:15 quoting	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18 reality 75:22 reason 30:9,11 45:13 64:7,9 66:8 68:11 85:10 88:16 89:13 90:9 reasonable 9:14 19:18 60:5 reasons 66:3 81:7
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2 properly 24:15 30:6 provide 26:18 72:8 73:17 78:10 provided 8:14 20:18 providers 20:2 42:15 70:18 78:10, 23	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting 86:20 Q qualified 34:9 35:17, 18 question 6:2,4,15 7:20 10:5,7 13:2 14:3 15:16,18,24, 25 16:1,2,3, 4 18:22 21:13,14,18,	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19 71:5 72:16 90:18 quote 37:6,8,12,25 38:16,18 39:2,4 74:8 quoted 55:15 quoting 81:4,15	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18 reality 75:22 reason 30:9,11 45:13 64:7,9 66:8 68:11 85:10 88:16 89:13 90:9 reasonable 9:14 19:18 60:5 reasons 66:3 81:7 83:13,16
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2 properly 24:15 30:6 provide 26:18 72:8 73:17 78:10 provided 8:14 20:18 providers 20:2 42:15 70:18 78:10, 23 psychiatric	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting 86:20 Q qualified 34:9 35:17, 18 question 6:2,4,15 7:20 10:5,7 13:2 14:3 15:16,18,24, 25 16:1,2,3, 4 18:22 21:13,14,18, 22 22:1,2,4,	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19 71:5 72:16 90:18 quote 37:6,8,12,25 38:16,18 39:2,4 74:8 quoted 55:15 quoting 81:4,15	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18 reality 75:22 reason 30:9,11 45:13 64:7,9 66:8 68:11 85:10 88:16 89:13 90:9 reasonable 9:14 19:18 60:5 reasons 66:3 81:7 83:13,16 85:13 88:24
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2 properly 24:15 30:6 provide 26:18 72:8 73:17 78:10 provided 8:14 20:18 providers 20:2 42:15 70:18 78:10, 23 psychiatric 23:1	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting 86:20 Q qualified 34:9 35:17, 18 question 6:2,4,15 7:20 10:5,7 13:2 14:3 15:16,18,24, 25 16:1,2,3, 4 18:22 21:13,14,18,	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19 71:5 72:16 90:18 quote 37:6,8,12,25 38:16,18 39:2,4 74:8 quoted 55:15 quoting 81:4,15 R	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18 reality 75:22 reason 30:9,11 45:13 64:7,9 66:8 68:11 85:10 88:16 89:13 90:9 reasonable 9:14 19:18 60:5 reasons 66:3 81:7 83:13,16
71:16,25 73:1 progress 8:9 proper 9:19 10:17, 20 90:2 properly 24:15 30:6 provide 26:18 72:8 73:17 78:10 provided 8:14 20:18 providers 20:2 42:15 70:18 78:10, 23 psychiatric 23:1 psychiatrist	34:11 37:21 39:22,25 54:24 65:12 79:24 putting 86:20 Q qualified 34:9 35:17, 18 question 6:2,4,15 7:20 10:5,7 13:2 14:3 15:16,18,24, 25 16:1,2,3, 4 18:22 21:13,14,18, 22 22:1,2,4,	15:22,23 16:8,9,11,18 19:8 22:5 37:1 38:24 42:8 59:15 65:4,10 66:15,19 71:5 72:16 90:18 quote 37:6,8,12,25 38:16,18 39:2,4 74:8 quoted 55:15 quoting 81:4,15 R radiation	66:5 71:14 82:20 83:1,2 85:19 ready 26:6,18 reality 75:22 reason 30:9,11 45:13 64:7,9 66:8 68:11 85:10 88:16 89:13 90:9 reasonable 9:14 19:18 60:5 reasons 66:3 81:7 83:13,16 85:13 88:24

recall	23:20 24:17	14:22 15:2,	46:13,15,16
8:16 25:3,14	25:9 26:22,	11 21:10	48:16 58:5,6
30:17 33:20	I -	29:23 32:20	61:10 63:6
	25 27:1,2,3,		
36:7 46:6,9	4 28:5 29:25	80:12 82:10	91:4,23,25
47:12 49:10	30:1,2,4,10	83:25	92:1,2,5
54:13 58:6	33:10,12	related	reported
66:17 71:14	36:8 38:25	23:21	80:21 82:23
77:16 79:10	39:16 40:8	relation	REPORTER
91:2,11,17	58:11,12	58:12 59:6	_
	62:21 63:7		11:19 31:17,
receive		relative	19,22,25
57:24	90:8,25	16:18	48:3 68:20
received	91:1,4,9,10,	release	92:9
27:4 52:21	16 92:3	33:1	reports
53:2	recover		7:19 8:9
receiving	76:2,10	released	
84:20	recovered	62:21,25	represent
		63:2	42:14,16
recent	87:1	relevance	65:17
54:3,4	recross	52:16 63:12,	representing
recently	71:6	22 68:3,18	5:11 16:13
24:24	RECROSS-	69:8,21	request
recognize	EXAMINATION	relevancy	7:16 8:1,5
40:3	80:9 89:3	_	48:5 65:25
	90:23	61:14	
recognized		remember	require
26:24	rectal	32:2 55:17	69:24 90:3
recollection	30:14 61:11	65:3 66:10,	requiring
8:13 13:18,	84:19 85:4	14 67:12	66:15
19 60:10	rectum	87:12	research
75:2	61:20,22	remotely	51:11
recollections	REDIRECT-	5:21	
13:24	EXAMINATION		resections
	71:7 91:20	repeat	54:5
reconnecting		6:3 31:23	residency
40:10	redundant	repeated	43:13 53:25
reconnection	16:3 45:15	65:23	resident
30:15 32:13	refer	rephrase	54:1
record	70:17 71:15	6:3 18:23	residents
5:5 7:7,11	72:25 73:6	29:9	
8:5 10:14	reference		74:10,15
	33:21	replacement	resource
19:7 24:11		7:12	67:1
27:6,17	referenced	report	respect
34:12 35:13,	12:19 79:15	7:8,9,13,14,	13:15 15:24
25 39:6 55:4	referring	23 8:1,19	16:22 21:2
65:12 79:8	68:7 71:17	9:21 10:6,8,	23:11,16
records	86:7	10,12 27:6	1
7:11 8:3,7,	reflect	1	44:4,14
14 17:18	5:5 21:3	28:3 30:12	78:25 79:20
		33:14,17,22	response
18:8,14,16	regarding	39:22 40:7,	74:12
20:1,24 21:3	9:2,5,6,11	12,25 41:16	
	I	I	I

	1 04.10		3 -
rest	84:18	13:5,11	rude
42:4	reverse	15:8,21 18:6	72:11,17
restate	41:7 47:9	19:17 20:15	rules
25:16	80:16,20	21:21 26:12	5:8 35:19
result	87:5 88:5,10	27:14 28:7,	run
10:24 23:1	90:6	9,20,25	49:18
31:3 32:6	reversed	30:23 33:22	
60:7 82:5	15:6 17:19,	35:17 37:3,	S
resulting	21 21:6	17 39:19	
11:1	28:17,18	40:3 41:21,	safe
results	66:5,9,16,22	22 42:23	19:17,19
30:16	69:11,14,18,	45:17,20	safely
retained	23 85:7,22	47:18,19	85:22
8:11 18:3,5,	reversing	50:4,9,24	safest
7 86:17	89:6 90:15	53:6 57:4	80:20,24
87:16	review	58:16 59:12	saint
retainer	5:14 12:13,	71:19 73:4 75:7 76:2,22	42:18
55:7,9 79:15	18 15:4,8,	· ·	
return	10,14 18:7,	79:5,9,12 80:22 81:1	SAITH 92:13
76:14	13,16 24:20,	82:21 92:2	
reversal	23 25:4,10		saying
14:13 16:23	26:25 27:3	ring	11:20 24:8
17:9,10 20:4	29:24 30:1	36:14	25:11 34:7
24:8 25:7,25	38:22 58:23,	rise	38:13,19
26:23 27:2	25 62:20	60:22	39:9 41:13
28:15,22	65:4 79:20	risk	77:2 79:7
29:3,7,11,	reviewed	14:12,16	86:11
13,14,18	7:15,22	20:11 27:21	says
30:13,23	12:16,22	39:25 40:1,	29:16 37:7,8
31:3,6,10,15	13:1 14:8,11	17 60:16,19	38:16 39:2
32:7,19	15:17,18,20	risks	55:9 82:19
33:3,5,15,	16:5,6 20:10	25:23 26:3,	84:12,24
17,19 39:15,	24:12 27:5,	4,5,8,9,17,	85:17
19,23 41:25	12 30:1	24 27:19	Scarber
46:23 62:5	38:25 44:17,	40:3,15	5:4,11 10:9,
63:2,9,19	21 49:6	41:19 62:17	15 11:4,24
64:1,8,10	58:12,21	robbery	12:3,8,12
68:24 77:14	90:9	48:17,25	22:10 29:8
80:12,22	reviewing	Robin	31:24 32:3
82:10,24	30:4	11:24 12:8	36:18,20
83:3,6,7,10,	reviews	48:2	42:21,24
19,24 84:13	37:10,11	role	43:3 55:4,10
85:11,12,25	45:1 55:22,	64:23	59:14 61:6,
86:22 88:23	25	room	13 63:3,21,
89:16 90:10	revoked	78:23	24 64:12,21
reversals	44:1	routine	65:4,9,14,20
14:23 15:3,	right	76:16	66:1,12,14
12 28:6	11:11 12:25		67:20 68:3,
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

		•	
16,18,21	sense	signed	someone's
69:1,7,19	81:16	27:25 91:25	53:16
70:8 71:8	sentence	significant	sooner
74:7 90:11,	27:16,17	25:23 60:25	31:11,15
19 91:13,21	sepsis	70:13	32:8 33:6
92:8	53:24	Silverman	39:15,19,23
Scarber's	September	5:1,6,9	sores
81:20	36:11	10:16 17:3,	78:16
scarring	serious	23 18:18	sort
41:8 61:21,	28:24	19:25 21:11	47:14 68:13
24 62:4,6		22:13 28:6	sought
84:9	serve	31:8 32:12,	13:20
scheduled	8:12	18,25 33:25	
87:7	service	36:21 37:4,	sound
school	72:8 73:7	25 38:2,17	67:7
16:15 22:16	services	41:13 59:19	sounds
43:10,11	7:16 56:14	74:8,9,11	14:9 41:12
·	set	77:18	60:5 79:18
scope 64:13,22	91:9,16	Silverman's	speaking
68:22 87:10	settled	37:9,10 39:4	21:25
second	53:14	similar	special
7:12 13:14,	settlement	13:8	70:18
21,23 27:14	53:17	simple	specializing
31:19	settlements	72:10	34:23
	53:18	sincerity	specialty
secondary 84:18	seven	32:25	57:3,11,16,
	56:13	single	18 74:12
secure 70:22	several	56:23 66:21	specific
	34:1 83:4,9,	site	23:20 37:18
see 6:25 26:24	20,25 85:10,	79:12	65:10 82:21
27:14 37:20	13	sits	specifically
42:5 55:5	shorter	30:15	33:4 47:2,4
63:17 67:15	84:13		70:19 83:12
68:13 79:16	shortness	situation	speculation
82:18 86:10	68:8	28:25	86:3
90:9,14,25	shot	skewed	speculative
91:6	33:2	38:7	86:4
seeing	show	smell	speed
14:6 51:24	33:21	21:7 70:1	27:7
84:4	showed	social	spell
seek	33:13 91:13	70:2,14,19	36:16
68:24	shown	71:10	spend
send	33:10,12	socially	51:21,22,24
70:3,21 72:5	sic	70:24 71:23	52:7
73:9,16,17,	84:17	societies	St
18	sick	44:10,14	6:19,23 7:1
	90:4	Society	25:5 34:17
	-	44:11	42:15,19

43:14 45:12,	12 81:3	subset	26:22 34:23
13 47:14	89:7,14 90:7	51:17	35:19,24
49:15 50:2,7	stomas	subspecialist	51:14 54:5
51:7 54:1	69:13,23	51:18	68:9 88:10
staff	71:21,23		surgeon's
44:4 51:7	•	sue	20:3,5
	stop	25:11 53:2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
standard	15:21 21:21	sued	Surgeons
10:22 11:1	40:21	52:17 53:5	44:11,12
12:19,20,24	stopped	suffer	surgeries
13:3,5,6	72:21	31:2,5	28:7 62:12
35:9 41:21	stops	suffering	77:22
54:11 57:1	50:20,22	70:7,14	surgery
88:9	stress	sufficiently	7:12 8:5,22,
start	21:5	35:10	23 20:12,13
43:10	stricture	suggest	25:19,25
started	30:17	39:16 40:11,	26:4 31:6
7:18 14:6	strike	15 77:14	34:24 35:1,
50:11 72:18	25:16 40:21	88:4	9,11,21,22,
state	46:10 87:10		23 37:18
34:15,16	stroke	suggested 38:17	38:5,7,8,14
35:14 36:9	26:2 27:21		40:17 41:2
44:25 45:6		suggesting	43:20 47:18
stated	structure	63:8	49:15,24
20:5 35:13	26:1	suggestion	50:12,20
37:4	structures	38:20 39:3	51:4,16,25
	27:24	supplied	52:9 57:7,9,
statement	students	58:11	10 61:19
32:1 55:8	49:21 74:19	supplies	62:5,7 64:8
80:18 81:19	studies	69:25 71:22	68:11,25
82:9	80:21 82:2,	supply	74:10,15
statements	23 83:4,9,	69:25	76:1,5,9,14,
32:1	20,25 84:2,4	supplying	17,19,22
states	85:10,13	86:14	77:14 89:16
52:19 56:18,	86:7,9,13		surgical
21,22,24	88:4	support 17:13 82:14	28:3 37:15
status	study	90:3	67:3,4,6
83:18 84:9	86:11		
step-down	stuff	supporting	surrounding
76:24	42:6 48:19	18:11	25:25 27:24
steps	71:19	sure	suspended
50:3,9		5:23 13:23	44:1
sticky	stump	19:13 47:4	sustained
58:15	30:15 61:11	57:14 82:19,	31:14
stoma	sub-interest/	21 89:23,25	sworn
	specialty	90:2	5:2
68:24 69:11,	51:15	Surely	symptoms
18,25 71:21	subsequent	84:17	68:14
73:2,7,8	79:19	surgeon	system
78:16 79:4,		12:14 17:3	
1			

		·	
26:2 27:25	23:10 26:6	20:10,14	46:4,24
73:20 75:9	35:2 47:13	24:20 25:4	47:20 48:16
	53:13,22	29:24 34:1,	51:5 53:4,15
	56:23 69:11	3,8,24 36:6	54:19,24
T	85:20 88:15	37:10 57:1	55:14 56:6,
		63:13 64:11,	10,20 57:25
Take	temporarily	1	1
11 : 15	87:6	20,24 65:5,8	60:10,12
takedown	tend	Texas	71:4 77:3,12
59:20 60:7	45:2	34:17,18	79:22 80:2,
62:9,15,18	Tennessee	45:10	14 82:16
takedowns	34:13,14,16,	textbook	87:25 91:3,
59:22	19 35:4	67:1	8,14,16
	45:2,5,8,10,	Thank	thinking
taken	11 56:19	22:11 23:6	74:24
5:6 47:14,16	Tentatively	26:21 28:2	third
76:6	87:7	31:7 35:3	7:13 13:21,
talk		36:19 41:23	23
35:3,4	terms	42:9 43:2,4	thought
talked	5:13 14:16	55:10 59:9	8:4 10:12
17:6 79:25	15:13 45:5	73:24 78:13	50:11
talking	75:10		
7:18 12:20	testified	80:5 82:8	threatened
13:7 24:3,13	12:19 14:12,	88:25 90:18	25:8
37:18,19	21 15:10	91:18	three
39:13,18	17:4 20:17	Thanks	38:23 40:1
41:1 47:2	24:6 25:10,	40:5 65:20	46:5 76:1
63:25 69:3	15,18,22	90:19	85:7 87:25
78:21 80:23,	28:21 29:22	thicker	88:2,5,10,17
24 83:6	33:13 38:7,	61:25	threw
84:5,8,10	9,15 40:4	thing	46:14
	56:18,21,22	7:21 40:19	time
target	59:19 61:14	50:21 64:24	41:24 42:2,
54:8,10	63:5,8 70:17	89:14 91:3	5,9 43:24,25
taught	74:18 87:21	things	48:22 51:21,
8:25 74:11,	89:5	13:8 22:19	23,24 52:6,
16,18	testify	25:23 34:9	7,8 54:1,17,
teach	21:24 34:10,	41:1,8,14,	19,24 57:2,6
49:21 74:10,	12,20 35:9,	15,18 43:9	59:9,19
15	11 37:5	62:15 70:23,	62:25 67:5,8
team	39:20	24,25 73:21	79:24 80:21,
65 : 16	testifying	75:11,23	25 82:23
teams	33:14 34:9	78:1 89:10,	83:17 84:12
70:3	57:3 78:2	11,22 90:5	85:25 86:21
technical		92:4	88:25 89:5,
5:23	testimony	think	6,15 91:6,
tell	11:3 12:18	7:22 19:18	13,19
7:3 11:16,17	13:1 14:8,11	22:19 24:24	times
16:1,4,17	15:10,14,17,		
17:16 20:25	18,19,20	25:8 32:9,14	6:8,10
11.10 20:20	16:6,7 17:13	33:13 45:24	47:13,16

53:5 72:6	true	67:15 68:5,9	
timing	9:8 14:18,	72:25	
15:13 16:22	19,20 17:22		
17:9 80:12	18:12 24:19	U	vague
82:10	33:25 37:12		29:5
tissues	38:6,8	uncontrolled	variables
61:24,25	74:14,17,19	88:21	84:7
today	91:4	undergo	various
5:10,12 7:4	truth	29:11,12,13	17:4
10:13 11:8	38:9 41:5	67:3,5 75:9	vary
39:12 54:14,	truthfully	88:23	62:18
20 55:20	38:15 74:18	undergoes	venture
82:13 86:5,	91:15	76:14	69:12
13 87:18	try	understand	versus
91:6,12	5:24 6:4 64:1 72:16	5:15 6:2,5	14:12 35:6
told		7:20 18:22	36:1,8 76:15
12:16 13:15 15:17 47:6,	trying 35:8,16	29:1 31:12	84:14,24
10 51:10	41:20 72:14	77:24 81:20	vertical
top	73:11	85:14,19	53:24
72:8 73:7,	turning	89:12	violated
20,21	61:24	understanding	54:11
total	twice	5:22 18:20 19:5 35:20	violence
44:20	33:2 52:18	66:18 75:23	48:15,24
touch	two	unit	visited
34:18	5:20 20:1	52:2	46:24
touching	30:25 31:1	University	visits
34:16 45:6	32:1,20	36:9 43:12,	29:20 30:5
track	34:11 38:23	14 49:16	67:12
50:10 51:1	42:1 46:5,	50:2,7 51:8	vitae
training	17,22 51:2	unpaid	49:11
9:1 61:3	53:5 54:5	49:14 50:24	voice 23:5
treat	56:2 59:24	unredacted	volume
74:20	66:11 74:25 80:16,20	10:12	52:2,5
treated	85:7 88:1	unusual	volunteer
19:2	two-and-a-	5:19	50:24
treating	half	up-to-date	00.21
9:19 10:18	31:1 64:8	11:23 49:11	
18:21	type	upkeep	W
treatment	78:3	69:24	W-E-X-N-E-R
9:2,5,14	types	ureter	36:10
70:18	41:15 77:20	26:1 27:24	wait
trial 52.11 56.0 0	typical	urgent	31:17 41:7
53:11 56:8,9	5:20 59:23	20:6 63:2	80:20 85:3
trials 56:10,13	typically		87:1,2 88:5,
00.10,13	13:6 56:4		10,17,24

	1	- , -
89:6,15	whatnot	67:10,15,18,
waiting	88:14	23 85:24
85:10	When's	91:22,24
want	42:2	writing
15:18 21:13	wholly	68:10
26:12 29:12	20:16	written
37:23 41:3	William	10:11 59:2,4
42:5 67:3	43:18	78:9 92:1
69:17,22	willingness	wrong
71:12,17,24	37:5	38:21 66:3
72:3,5,6,17	Wilson	77:15
73:9,14,15,	35:6,14,16,	wrote
22 77:11	25	8:19 40:25
78:14 82:18		58:5 91:25
86:10,22,25	witness	92:2
87:2 89:23,	8:12 10:2,5 11:20 22:7	32.2
24 90:2,11		
wanted	29:6 31:18 32:2 39:7	Y
13:15 24:8	42:23 43:2	
way	48:6 64:4	Yeah
15:25 16:11,	66:2 68:5,17	6:16 37:24
18 52:4	69:3,10,22	81:2 86:19
69:16 71:2	70:11 80:8	year
73:5 83:10	86:6 90:14	31:1 34:15,
84:7	witness's	18 44:18
Weber	63:13 64:22,	56:1,9,11
28:4 67:11	23	60:4,6,9
Weber's	witnesses	year-and-a-
33:17	36:23	half
week		41:1
25:2 42:1,3	wondering	years
45:25 52:10,	9:21	22:9 31:1
12 59:20,25	word	32:20 43:21
weeks	58:25	51:2 56:12
46:5 58:2	work	60:14 64:8
76:2 85:23	34:25 35:23	70:12 75:1
87 : 4	55:16 61:25	87:22,25 88:2
weight	78:4	00:2
88:21 89:25	working 42:19	
well-managed		
89:24	workings 77:25	
went		
32:18 47:4	worth	
Wexner	41:14,18	
	wound	
36:9,10		1
36:9,10 whatever's	90:1	
36:9,10 whatever's 51:3	write 40:7 59:6	